

collections OOUGLAS Library



queen's university at kingston

KINGSTON ONTARIO CANADA





Free-Thinking

STATED.

BOOKS Printed for, and Sold by George Strahan.

A Short and easieMethod with Deists and Jews, wherein the Certainty of the Christian Re-

ligion is demonstrated from four Rules.

The Deists Manual, or a Rational Enquiry into the Christian Religion. By Cha. Gildon. To which is prefix'd a Letter from the Author of the Method with the Deists.

The Socinian Controversie fully discussed in six Dialogues, with an Answer to Mr. Clendons Book

de Personà; By Charles Leslie Chancellor.

Right Notions of God and Religion, with some Discourses for correcting prevailing Errors.

Salvation the peculiar Priviledge of the Church.

These Two by John Cockburn, D. D.

Sacrifice the Divine Service, with a Letter

from Mr. Leslie to the Author.

The Cafe of the Regale and Pontificat, concerning the Independency of the Church upon any Power on Earth, in the Exercise of her purely Spiritual Authority.

Mr. Colliers Essays on several Moral Subjects.

Montaigns Effays in Three Volumes, on feveral Subjects.

The Turkish Spy in 8 Volumes.

Maxims of Government by Father Paul, Author of the Council of Trent.

Free-Thinking

RIGHTLY

STATED;

Wherein A

DISCOURSE

(Falfly fo call'd)

IS FULLY

CONSIDER'D.

A Scorner seeketh Wisdom, and findeth it not, but Knowledge is easy to him that under-standeth. Prov. xiv. 6.

LONDON:

Printed for George Strahan, at the Golden Ball, against the Royal-Exchange, in Cornbill. 1713.

A-011-1713-C63



Free-Thinking

RIGHTLY

STATED.

Reedom, whether of Thought or Action, according to the true Notion of it, ought not to be opposed, neither should be refused to any, being both reasonable and desireable on the Account

of the many Advantages which it may bring. But then in both respects it is often perverted, and made the Foundation of pernicious destructive Things. For some under the Pretence of Liberty, contend for a wicked Licenciousness, and do complain of Slavery if they are

not allowed to do whatever they please, all that seems right in their own Eyes, without any regard to publick Good or the private Interest of others. So the Free-thinking which some plead for, is in effect nothing but petulant and insolent opposition to the clearest Truths, and a Presumption to contemn what is most important and valuable. Wherefore to prevent a Misunderstanding of the Subject, and a confused Debate about Words. It is necessary first to state the Notion of Free-thinking, and to consider whether any Thing be aimed at besides that which is implied in the true and genuine Notion of it.

II. This Author pag. 5. gives a Definition of Free-thinking, which he fays, cannot be excepted against. But I am of the Opinion, that it is exceptionable, because defective, neither displaying the Nature of Free-thinking fully, nor yet guarding against the Mistakes and Abuses of its or at least not do not be a set least not do not do not be a set least not do not be a set least not do not do not be a set least not do not do not be a set least not do not d it, or at least not doing it so clearly as to obviate and prevent them. For to think freely is not only using the Understanding to find out the Meaning and Evidence of a Proposition: But also it is the endeavouring to know the Nature of Things proposed, and to be fensible of the Importance of them, so as that they may be entertain'd suitably. One may know the Meaning of a Proposition, and see the Truth of it, and yet not think on the Consequence: And if that be not done, he does not think reasonably, and so does not use his natural Freedom to think as he ought. For certainly all Things are not assuably nor of a like Va tainly all Things are not equal; nor of a like Value;

lue; and consequently there ought to be a different Concernment for them, which I fear is but little consider'd by many who set up for Freethinkers.

III. After a short, and at least somewhat obfcure Definition of Free-thinking, this Author passes immediately to give many Reasons for it, upon supposition that there is here a Restraint from Free-thinking, and a Discouragement to it, which he also very often complains of in express Terms. But as some of his Reasons are weak and little to the Purpole; fo there was no great Necessity of producing and enlarging upon Reafons for Free-thinking, when the Obligation to it is and will be own'd by all Men of Senfe. Notion of Free-thinking had been a little clear'd, if certain Instructions, proper Rules, and a right Method for the Performance of it had been proposed, it would have made his Discourse more useful. It is fit, yea necessary, to know something of These, otherwise one shall never think wifely, neither be able to know or understand the Truth. Wherefore I shall propose some shore Thoughts about them, and then proceed to make Remarks upon some Things delivered and advanced in this Discourse.

IV. Free-thinking in the only proper Sense, is to be understood in opposition to Ignorance and Stupidity, which hamper and confine the Understanding, so that it cannot exert it self aright, that is, as it becomes a reasonable Creature, whose

Nature is to follow Truth and Goodness. Wherefore to think freely is not to think wildly or extravagantly, it is not to take the Freedom of gainfaying every Person, or of overturning every received Notion, nor yet of advancing Opinions according to Fancy, Passion, Humour or Interest: But it is to think justly according to Truth and Evidence, and suitably to the Nature of Things, neither overvaluing nor undervaluing them. This requires a serious and careful Examination both of the Truth and Certainty, and also of the Importance of all that is proposed and received, so that after one has thought thus, he may be fully perswaded in his own Mind, and be able to justify himself and satisfy others concerning both his Opinions and Practices, that they are all his free choice.

V. For carrying on this kind of Thinking and attaining the End of it, all Prejudices must be laid aside, nor must there be any stated Aversion, but a sincere ready Disposition to receive every Truth, however it appear, or whatever may be the Consequence. All rashness and precipitancy must be carefully avoided; for sew important Truths can be taken up or well understood in hast and hurry. Many lose Truth by asking hastily for it, as Pilate did, and like him not having Patience till it be discovered; short, suddain and careless Views can make but little Discovery. The Understanding can never penetrate without frequent Reslections and deep Meditation. Nor then too, but when Pride, Selsconceit, and Affectation

Aation of Novelty and Singularity are curb'd as much as possible: For these blind and byass the Understanding, and commonly do cause a Miscarriage in the search of Truth. Likewise Truth is obstructed by a Spirit of Levity and Derision; for faith Solomon, a Scorner feeketh Wisdom, and findeth it not. He that does not consider, will never understand; and Jesting is inconsistent with ferious Confideration: A Mocker of Persons shuts his Eyes upon their Excellencies and Merits; and he that gives himself to ridicule all Doctrines and Opinions, neither will nor can perceive the Truth and Importance of any of them. In like manner, he who is refolv'd always to gainfay and cavil at every Thing propos'd, is refolv'd never to be convinc'd. Wherefore whosoever intends to think freely and wifely, must resolve to be ferious and deliberate, humble and modest, both cautious against Imposition and Delusion, and also susceptible of what is well attested, otherwise he will receive only what he likes, and lays a Prohibition upon other Things, which is inconfiftent with Free-thinking, and unbecoming a free impartial Thinker.

VI. Thinking after this Manner is Wildom, and the Way to advance in Knowledge, Perfection and Happiness, and so nothing can be more becoming Men. A Restraint from this, is indeed a Grievance justly to be complain'd of. Nor will any exclaim against the Practice, or cry-out Danger from it, to either the publick or private Persons, except ignorant or cunning designing

Men.

Men, whose Counsels and Projects will not abide a Tryal. Indeed Cheats and Impostors have Interest to decry it, as Enthusiasts to be jealous of it: Because this will detect the Forgeries of the one, and shew the Delusions of the other. But this will promote the Knowledge of the Truth, and give every one a true Taste of it. Nor is it left to every one's own Pleasure, whether he will think thus wisely and freely, for God requires it of all, and has made it their Duty, having for this End given them reasonable Faculties. Which reasonable Faculties should be mostly and chiefly employed about Matters of Religion, because they are of the greatest Moment; otherwife we are guilty of neglecting the best and chiefest Things, and of throwing away our Life and Time upon what will turn to the least Account.

VII. It is ignorantly supposed by some, and falsely represented by others, that the Christian Religion is securest when there is the least Inquiry into it. Nor can there be a greater Reproach cast upon our Religion, nor a greater Injury done to it, than to say, that Ignorance is the Mother of Devotion. For though Ignorance may be, and indeed is, the support of other Religions, which gave Occasion to the Saying, yet the Christian Religion stands upon a sure Foundation, which the strictest Inquiry cannot shake, and certainly the better one knows, and the more he considers the Evidence of the Christian Religion, its Destrines, Precepts and Institutions,

stitutions, he will be more stedsast and immoveable in the Profession of it, and more careful to observe it. Wherefore there is in the Scripture in general, and in the New Testament, in particular, frequent Exhortations, to see, to search, to think, to understand, to consider, to study and encrease in Knowledge; because the practising these Things, would both make and consirm Converts.

VIII. Now as the Gospel does not forbid Freethinking, so neither does our Church restrain Persons from it, nor any Protestant Church that I know. And indeed 'rwould be very unjust in them, if they did that themselves, which they complain of in the Church of Rome. But that no fuch Thing can be charged on our Church and Clergy, is evident to all amongst us. For is there any where more Opportunity, or greater Helps for knowing the Truth? Are there any People more reasonably treated, that is, used more like Men? Is there any Thing proposed or required, for which there are not first good Reafons given? Is there any Thing imposed to be believed, which is not shewed to be contained in Scripture? And is not Scripture in every ones Hand? So that if the People will follow the noble Bereans, and fearch, they may fee with their own Eyes, whether what we fay be true. Nor can it be faid, that even the Scripture is imposed by implicit Faith; for undoubted Proofs of it are laid before them: Nor are they denyed the Writings of either Friends or Enemies, that e-B 4

very one may see and judge himself, how little we follow cunningly devised Fables, how far from taking Advantage of Peoples supposed Credulity, and how careful to distinguish the Doctrines of Men, from the real Word of God.

IX. Now after all this, is it not furprifing, how any can make fuch Noise and Clamour about Restraints to Free-thinking here? What may Strangers think of this Nation and Church by reading this Book? May not they apprehend that our People are as ignorant as the Muscovites, that some new Inquisition is set up to fright People from all reasonable Inquiries? That our Church-Service must be in an unknown Tongue, and that there is neither Preaching nor Catechifing with us, for informing Peoples Judgment, and for making them to know and understand what they Profess? If this was the Case, one could not well complain more than this Author does, nor reprefent a Church and Clergy more odiously; but there is no Ground for such fcandalous Affertions and Suggestions as are thrown up and down in this Difcourfe. It is a Libel notoriously false, and the Author must be either very ignorant, or very much imposed on, or must have thrown off all Modesty. When Men are transported with Spite, Malice, and Unreafonable Prejudices, they commonly forget to put on the commendable Ornaments of Honour, Probity and Veracity.

There is so little reason to complain of obstructing Free-thinking by unjust and unreasonable

Restraints

Restraints, that alas there is too much reason to complain of the Remissels of both the Civil and Ecclefiaftical Government against licentious Writing. How freely in one Sense, that is, how wickedly must some think in Private; and what wonder that there are fuch Discourses, as are reported to be in the Grecian Coffee house, and other Places, when almost every Week there are published Scandalous, Impious and Blasphemous Pamphlets, affronting both our civil Constitution, and our established Religion, among which I may very well reckon this Discourse of Freethinking. I hope those that should, will at last be awakened to restrain them, which can give no more just ground of Complaint, than to keep the Mobocks from running again up and down the Streets, to kill or mangle all they should meet.

X. As this Author complains unreasonably of Restraints to Free-thinking among us; so there is all Reason to complain of him, for departing from the true and proper Notion of it, and for endeavouring to obtrude perverse Things under the Colour and Pretext of this innocent Term. For either by plain Affertions or Infinuations, and itrong Innuendoes, it may appear to any one, that by Free-thinking, he fometimes means an indifferency and unconcernedness towards all received Opinions, especially relating to God, Revelation, and Religion, which is to turn Freethinking into Stupidity, and want of Thought. For certainly he does not think, who is unconcerned, whether there be a God, or whether God

God has ever reveal'd himself to Men, and does require any particular Behaviour of them; whether the Gospel be true, and a future Life certain, and what are the necessary Conditions for obtaining that eternal Bleffedness. Nothing can be of greater Moment, than the Truth of these Things, neither should any Thing be studied more seriously; for if these Things be true and certain, they put us under Obligations very different, from what would be upon us, if there was no Reality in them. Wherefore every wife Man will examine them, and enquire into the Truth of them. And he that does not, ties up his Reafon, and is a meer unthinking Animal, like a Erute, who is only moved by prefent outward Objects.

XI. Again this Author makes Free-thinking to confift in throwing off Guides, Directors and Teachers. He begins with this in the very 2d Page, and carries it on to the End. From this Conceit He, on all Occasions, rails at the Clergy, and reprefents them as an useless Burden which should be laid aside. Now what a false and foolish Thing is it to fay that Direction and Information hinders Free-thinking? Are all helps Restraints? Then Glasses hinder Free-seeing, Horses and Coaches Free-travelling. Cannot one hear another without abandoning his own Reafon? Is Free-fight refl: in'd, because another perfwaded to use it, and gave the Occasion? Is it impossible to have the just Sense of the Contrivance of a House, or of the Beauty of a Garden.

den, because the favour of beholding them was owing to another? If Teaching be inconfiftent with Free-thinking, then is also Reading; and so Books must be laid aside as well as Men: Which would make it tedious, if not impossible to come to the Knowledge of any Science. According to this Notion, there never was a Free-thinker in the World, except 'twas that fabulous Aben Tokdam, whose History Dr. Pocock has given us from an Arabick Manuscript. The usefulness and necessity of Guides and Teachers, to the learning all Arts and Sciences, are acknowledged by all, and why should there be such a strong aversion to them in Religion. Is it not because they would have all as ignorant of Religion, as they must be of other Sciences, if they were not taught. The Christian Religion was first propagated, as it is still kept up by Preaching and Teaching, which are therefore fo much opposed by them, who are engaged in a Defign of putting a Stop to the Progress of it, and of shutting up all if possible, in Ignorance and Unbelief. The Scripture faith, that Faith comes by Hearing, and for that Reason, some disswade from Hearing, that Men may never Believe, but walk by Sight only, which will never carry their Thoughts to the other World.

XII. Thirdly, By the Tenonr of this Difcourse, Free-thinking is made an obstinate Incredulity, and a sawcy Presumption, to disbelieve whatever is said, not only by Man, but even God. Deference to any Authority whatsoever, is with this Author inconsistent with Free-thinking, and therefore it is, that he ridicules the plain Declarations of Scripture, which is of Divine Authority, as much as the Fables of the Heathen Poets and Priests, concerning their false and fictitious Gods.

This Notion of Free-thinking is not peculiar to this Author, nor is it new. It was started very foon after the Creation, and the Devil was the Author of it; for he put our first Parents upon Thinking thus freely the folemn Declaration of God about the Tree of Knowledge: Nor did he it out of any good Will to them, or to improve their Reason, but to destroy them, of which they were foon fensible. So Corab, Dathan and Abiram urged all Israel to think freely after this Manner, of the Authority of Moses and of the Priesthood of Aaron, the Consequence of which was a strange unnatural Death to them and their Adherents. All this may be put off like other Things as Priest-crast, by this Author and his Club, who laugh at all facred History. But they who are wife and fober-minded will take warning, and learn by these and other Instances to beware of affronting God, either by oppoling his known Will, or contradicting any Part of his Holy Word.

An Enquiry into the Evidence and Certainty of any Revelation, or pretended Inspiration, is allowable because most just and reasonable. Nay, it is a strict Duty, lest thro' carelessiness or unconcernedness we be deluded, imposed upon, and drawn away by false Prophets. But after a rea-

fonable

fonable Satisfaction that the Thing is of God, and that they who speak, do it by his Authority, and by virtue of his Commission, as is evident that Moses, the Prophets, and Apostles did, then to question the Truth of any Thing so delivered, because not suitable to our Inclinations, or agreeable to our Schemes, or conform to our prepossessed Notions, or comprehensible by our Understanding, or because the whole Mystery is not yet unfolded. This is to deny God's Veracity and foveraign Authority, and to put him upon the same Level with our selves, than which nothing can be more heinous and provoking. It is Rudeness to give even a Man of common probity the Lie, before he has given the Proofs of his Affertion. And none but an inconfiderate Fool will contradict what an honest, judicious and difinterested Person delivers gravely and serioufly, upon full Conviction and Experience, only because it is otherwise unaccountable: for he knows little, and has confider'd lefs, who will not, or does not own that many strange Things are true. But tho' Men may be deceived, yet God cannot; neither will he deceive others, and so it is the height of Impiety to hesitate in the Belief of what he says.

Both Extremes, viz. Credulity and Incredulity, are to be equally avoided, because equally unreasonable. Credulity is the Effect of weakness, and an obstinate incredulity shews perverseness of Mind. A credulous Temper lyes open to Error and Delusion, and a pertinacious Incredulity bars out Truth. There is no Reason to cherish Incredulity with Respect to Religion, more than

14 Free-Thinking Rightly Stated.

to other Things; and if it be entertain'd univerfally, 'twill render one entirely Ignorant. Credulity is not the Foundation of the Christian Religion, as is falfely reprefented and fuggefted. Indeed it requires Faith and a tractable Temper, but it is a Faith reasonable and well grounded, and built upon a better Foundation, than that which is necessary to all humane Transactions. No Man can be a Christian unless he resolve to be a Believer: But he must also be as great, year a greater Believer, if he resolve to live in the World, to have any Conversation and Commerce with Men, and to carry on any Design or Undertaking: For every Man that knows the World, or has any Experience, must needs be fensible that all humane Affairs roll upon Things far less certain, and against which there lye greater Objections, than against any Thing of the Christian Religion, which renders Unbelievers more inexcuseable.

XIII. Fourthly, The Free-thinking which is pleaded for, and recommended by this Author, feems to be plainly Atheism and Irreligion. Indeed he complains of the Unjustice of charging Atheism upon Free-thinkers, and represents it as done maliciously, only to debarr Persons from Free-thinking. He also pag. 104. endeavours to wipe off the Charge, and to shew that Men will not be Atheists by Free-thinking, which is certainly true, taking it in its right Sense. For neither Atheism nor Deism are the Effects of true Thinking upon what may be observed from fe-

rious

rious Enquiries. But yet for all this, his Freethinking, that is, his Manner of exemplifying it, introduces Atheisin and Irreligion. For the' he difowns the Name, yet it is too evident that he does not fo much hate the Thing. That I may not feem uncharitable or too fevere, I appeal to every ingenuous and impartial Reader, whether this long Letter or Discourse, does not abound with undecent and irreverent Expressions of God? Whether the fear of him is not represented as idle Superstition? Whether Intercourse betwixt God and Men, is not reflected on as a Cheat and Delusion? Whether the Christian Religion is not made a Jest of, as much as Paganism? Whether Jesus Christ is not put upon the level with Fobe of China, and Sommonocodam of Siam, who are also, as he alledges, called Saviours of the World, and said to be horn of Virgins, and promised to satisfie for Men's Sins? And whether these are not all Blasphemous Innuendoes? Moreover, I leave it to every one to judge, whether this Author does not blasphemously rank our Holy Scripture with the Shafter of the Bramins, the Zunduvastam of the Persees, the Alcoran and other the like, and whether he offers any Thing in favour of that, more than of these? Are not these the Freethoughts of this Author, which he would have other Free-thinkers to entertain?

Further, are not the Free-thinkers which he reckons up and proposes for Examples, reprefented as Atheists? I do not say that they all were fuch, God forbid; but only that he gives

them fuch a Character, and bestows great Encomiums upon them, for not troubling themfelves with the Thoughts of God or Religion, for never minding Prayers, neither believing a future State; but leaving all these Things as unworthy of them, to the simple, credulous, and superstitious Vulgar. If this is not a Recommendation of Atheisin, I know not what is such. And if the Free-thinking which he pleads for, tends to Atheism and Irreligion, it is foolish in every Sense, and on every Account, being highly unreasonable and impious, destructive of the publick Peace here, and the Means of eternal Destruction to himself and Followers.

XIV. Thus it may be evident to every one, that this Discourse does not answer the specious Title prefix'd, to entice Readers, and to delude the Simple and Unwary. It is as far from the Purpose, as East from West. It is not better than an Atheistical Ramble after wild Notions, to confound the Understandings of the Weak, and to discourage those who have not yet begun to Think, by fuggefting that the finding Truth is almost impossible, and to the Generality must be insuperably difficult. It is a Mass of incoherent Blasphemies, inclining Persons to throw off all Respect to God and Man, and introducing into them an aversion to Matters of the greatest Importance. So that this Discourse is in effect an Impediment to Free-thinking, in its just and proper Notion, whatever might be intended, and by it the Author feems to be the least Freethinker thinker in the World, because rash, headstrong, and full of Prejudices and Mistakes; all which will further appear by the Examination of some Particulars.

First, p. 6. He says, if the Knowledge of no Truth be forbidden us by God, and fo supposeth, that there is none forbidden. But if he had read and confidered the Scriptures of the Old and New Testament, or had any regard to its Authority, he would neither have faid nor supposed any fuch Thing. For all Truths are not there revealed; and as it is in vain to carry our religious Enquiries beyond Revelation, so it is offenfive. That which is revealed, we should study and meditate upon: But it is a finful Prefumption to pry curiously into what is hid from us. Secret Things, * faith Moses, belong unto the Lord our God; but those Things which are Revealed belong unto us, and unto our Children. And these Things are sufficient for all the Time we have upon Earth. So our bleffed Lord reproved his Disciples for their Curiosity, after the Time when the Kingdom should be restored unto Israel, It is not for you, said he unto them, to know the Times or the Seafons which the Father has put in his own Power, Acts i. 7. Where there is no Revelation, there is no certain Light to walk by; and it is not allowed us to attempt by Fancy, or our own weak Reason, to know the hidden Things of God: For this is to be too bold with God, to forget our Distance, and to fubject him to our narrow Capacities.

XVI. Again in the same Page, he offers a Parallel to Free-thinking, viz. Free-painting; and by shewing how much the Art of Painting is advanc'd by being Free, and without Restraints, and by having all Encouragement given to it as in Italy, he would therefore dispose Persons to Favour, and encourage Free-thinking in all its Latitude. But this is a very improper and abfur'd Comparison; for Painting and Thinking have quite different Ends, and cannot be carried on in the same Manner, and after the same Method. The Art of Painting is chiefly intended to please, and therefore the Painter is allowed to follow his own Fancy, that he may give a fur-prifing Delight to the Fancy of others. Those fine Italian Pieces, are not admired for any real Likeness, or true Resemblance, so much as for their lively Colours, their artful Shades, and the bold Strokes of the Painters Imagination, But the End of Thinking is to find out Truth, which is most likely to be found, by keeping to the right Method, by attending to the proper Rules, and by observing strictly and impartially those Means which may bring us to it: All which indeed are Restraints, but very reasonable, and very necessary. For if these be laid aside, and Liberty given to the Mind and Fancy to rove, the Thoughts and Notions would be as unlike to Truth, as fome admired Pictures are to their pretended Originals.

In the same Paragraph he shews his devout Respect for Christian Divinity, by joining it with

Pagan,

Pagan, and commending both, only for furnishing the Painter with Matter. But it may be, he would even have spared this Commendation of Christian Divinity, if he had consider'd that it restrain'd Painters from some Things, viz. lascivious and immodest Pictures, and especially from all Representations of the God-head, the bleffed Trinity, or any Person of the Trinity, except the Son incarnate, because all Representations are false and dishonourable to God, as prejudicial to Men, by corrupting their Ideas of the invisible God-head, which transcends infinitely all that is feen, or can be imagined. would be highly Criminal, and most worthy of Punishment, to represent Majesty by a crown'd Monkey, or some such ugly Figure; for this is a plain Affront, and must be intended to beget a difrespect to Majesty. And so is the best Representation of the Deity.

XVII. P.9. He enlarges on the Praise of Homer, as he does commonly of all the Heathen Authors, whom he has occasion to mention, whereas the facred Writers have no Honour or Respect paid to them. Homer he commends for being skill'd in all Arts and Sciences, but especially for having the particular knowledge of a Coach-maker, because in his Iliad, he describes a Chariot, and Chariot Wheel, which is ridiculous. For if his reasoning was good, all our Orators and Poets, and particularly the ingenious Esq. Bickerstaff, must be skill'd not only in Coach-making, but Watch-making, and every other Trade. And

yet I should neither wrong nor affront them if did say, that scarce one of them could earn two Pence a Day at any Trade, for either Working or Directing. An Orator or Poet may make an elegant Allusion, as Homer does, to the obvious Ends and Uses of Things, as he that hears or reads, may understand the Beauty and Fitness of the Metaphor, and yet neither of them have any great Knowledge or Skill in the Mechanical Part.

XVIII. P. 10. There is an Encomium of the Holy Bible, which has more of Truth in it, than the Author is sensible of. But it is all by way of Banter from him, to fright away the simple and unlearned from reading the Bible, which, as he represents it, cannot be understood without Philosophy, and the knowledge of all Nature, History, Geography, Chronology, Geometry, and all Mathematicks, as well as other Sciences. And if so, it is in vain for the Generality to attempt the reading of it, and then the Restraint given by it, would be taken off.

But it feems Free-thinkers, fuch as this Man is, do not always consider, nor remember what they said at another Time: did he not in the former Paragraph set forth Homers Iliads, as a most perfect Composition relating to, and containing the Principles of every Art and Science; and yet it is put into the Hands of Boys, before they learn any Art or other Science. And there are many School-Boys, who would think themselves injur'd, if their Knowledge of this celebrated Poet were call'd in question.

There is certainly in Scripture Matter enough to exercise the Judgment and Understanding of the greatest, the most learned, and the most knowing Men. And yet it giveth Wisdom unto the Simple, and maketh the Unlearned wise unto Salvation, which is the Scope and Purport of it.

I have heard of a Lady who was refolv'd to leave our Church, and to go over to that of Rome; but she would do it like some others, with the Buftle and Formality of a Conference, that fhe might have the hypocritical Pretext of Conviction. At this Conference, she often Teased a Clergyman with the feeming Difficulty and Perplexity of Scripture, which for that Reason she alledged could not be a Rule, as it made a visible Guide necessary. This provok'd him to say, Madam, can any Thing be more plain, than that thou shalt not commit Adultery, which indeed was plainer than her Ladyship cared to hear. It may be as home to this Gentleman, to put him in mind how it is written, Bleffed is the Man that walketh not in the Counsel of the Ungodly, nor standeth in the Way of Sinners, nor sitteth in the Seat of the Scornful. This Text may be understood without Philosophy, or the Mathematicks; and if he will be pleased to go forward, and to confider and observe the following Words, which are also plain, he shall see it necessary to correct his prefent Thoughts, and shall be sensible that those Things are worthy of all Esteem, which now he has in Derision.

XIX. In the close of this Paragraph he has these Words, So that no Duty what soever contain'd in Scripture, can be precisely, and with certainty understood, without a Knowledge of the Law of Nature. This is an Error, and of no fmall Confequence, tho' I cannot fay, it is fingular to this Author? For it implies, that the Laws of Nature are necessary to confirm the Duties of Scripture, and to set Limitations to them, as is express'd a few Lines before. But though both the Laws of Nature, and also of Nations, may be studied by the Curious, who have Time and Opportunity; for there is some Pleafure and Use too, to know what has been univerfally received, and what natural Reason may observe. And as this will prove, that Good and Evil are not arbitrary Things, as some imagine, but have a real Foundation in Nature; so t'will convince one of the excellency of Scripture Revelation, which is as much more perfect than Nature it felf, as Noon-tide is beyond the dawning of the Morning; and which gives a more perfect System of Morality, than the wisest ever did draw from the Laws of Nature, or indeed can be drawn. I fay the' for, these Reasons the Laws of Nature, may be studied profitably, yet the Study of them is not absolutely necesfary to the Knowledge of our present Duty, and to understand what is the Good we now should do. For all this may be learned both more easily, and more certainly from Scripture, which is wonderfully contrived by the Wisdom of God, to fave the trouble of a tedious Inquisition after Truth

Truth and Righteousness, of which the Generality are altogether uncapable, for want either of Time or Judgment. Neither is the Knowledge of the Laws of Nature, much less the Sentiments and Opinions of Men, necessary to confirm the Obligation of Scripture Duties. For the Will of God is the fupreme Law, and prima regula Morum, to speak in the Phrase of Moralists. His Will lays an indispensible Obligation when manifested, by whatsoever Ways or Means it is manifested. The Laws of Nature are Obligatory, chiefly because they are the fix'd Declarations of his Will and Pleasure, who created all Things, and is the Sovereign of the World. And for the same Reason, whatsoever he is pleas'd to superadd to these Laws, ought to be equally honoured, and religiously observed, otherwise God is not duely honoured. To limit our Obedience by either the Laws of Nature, or the Dictates of Human Reason, is Rebellion and Wickedness before God, for it is a disowning his fovereign Authority, which has an everlafting Foundation in his own Almighty Power, and our Existence, and in the creation of all other Things. By virtue of this, he may command whatfoever he pleafeth, and whatfoever he is pleafed to command, becomes as foon as known our strict Duty. Wherefore Nature must not fet Bounds to Revelation, nor must the pretended Laws of Nature be brought to limit Scripture Duties, which we are bound to observe, though the Obligation to them can be shewed no where else. And if some Expressions of Scripture be too Universal, as this Author alledges, the Qualification may be learned, and must be taken from Scripture it self, which is the surest Rule, and also clearer than any other that can be proposed. This is an unalterable Truth, but little consider'd: Otherwise what is called the positive Precepts and Institutions of Scripture, would not be so much slighted.

XX. P. 13. It is faid, that the most ancient Fathers of the Church, no lefs abfurdly supposed God to be Material, and many Christians in all Ages supposed him to have the Shape of a Man. This is Slander and Falshood to a Witness; for that God is a Spirit, and not like to any Thing that is feen or visible, is the known and received Doctrine of the Catholick Church thro' all Ages. But this Author makes no Scruple of Slandering either private Persons, or National Churches, nor yet the Catholick, which he charges with the Errors of those who left her Communion, and who were cenfured for departing from her Doctrine. And if he either do find, or can wrest an Absurdity out of any Author, he prefumes there is Ground enough to calumniate whole Parties, Nations or Churches, as if all must be of the same Mind with every private Writer, who was inconsiderate in his Reasonings or fond of advancing fingular Notions. So another some Years hence, may take upon him to charge the present Church of England with Dr. Clark's, and Mr. Whiston's Notions of the Trinity, which cannot be judged fair Dealing. XXI. What

Page, is indeed a fad Truth, viz. That groß Errors both in Philosophy and Religion, prevailed for many Ages after the Revelation of the Gospel. He only gives Instances of those in the Church of Rome; but why did he pass by the Delusions of Mahomet, and the Alcoran, which seized Christians too, and still over-spread more of the World, than what is left of Christendom.

The Confideration of the general Prevalency of these gross Errors, should be a Warning to this and other Protestant Churches, and should put them in fear of having their present Light withdrawn, and of being again cast into Darkness. For the Light of Truth is a Favour granted upon the Condition of honouring it, and of living up to it. They who hold the Truth in Unrighteousness, neither delight in it, but are vain in their Imaginations, are threatned to be delivered up to Lies and strong Delusions, and to a reprobate Mind, both to do and to think the Things that are not convenient. The African and Eastern Church indulged Wantonness of Mind, gave way to idle Disputes, and took the Liberty to think too freely of the Mysteries of our holy Religion, as this Author does, and would have others to do, and fo they brought the Judgment of Mahometanism upon them. It is worth any ones Notice, what some have said from their Reading, and ferious Observation, that Mahometanism tollowed Arianism, and settled where-ever this prevailed. So that they who denyed the GodGod-head of Jesus Christ, were justly deserted by him, and abandoned to gross Imposture, and the vilest Absurdities which ever came into any Man's Head.

And how came the Western Church, to abound with fo many gross Errors and Abominations? Was it not by fetting afide the Holy Bible, and putting that Light of Divine Revelation under a Bushel? So that the Generality could not fee it. Nor was that prodigious Change from these Errors, produc'd by such Free-thinking, as is pleaded for in this Discourse, as the Author alledgeth: But by thinking freely according to the Holy Scripture, which had its Authority then retrieved, and to which then was a devout regard. This demonstrates Scripture to be a certain Guide to Truth, and a fure Prefervative against all Error in Religion, and religious Matters. Wherefore whosoever takes away Scripture, or discredits the Use of it, or proposes fomething else to be more regarded, has a Design of deceiving the World, and of introducing Errors, Lies and Falshood. In which wicked Defign, this Author feems to be too far engaged, whether ignorantly or wilfully he best knows; nor could any Thing be more serviceable to this Design, than this Discourse if it should take Effect; but I hope it shall not, for the Mischief and evil Tendency of it are visible.

XXII. P. 15. There is a Comparison stated betwixt Free-feeing, and Free-thinking, where the Author uses the Term of Eye-fight Faith in Derision

rision of that saving Faith which the Scripture and Divines by its Authority do propose and require: And which St. Paul defines to be the Substance of Things hoped for, and the Evidence of

Things not seen.

To restrain Seeing, and to oblige others to fubscribe a Confession of Eye-sight Faith, he says, is a Fancy that can hardly come into any Mens Heads, but either of those who are some way or other very remarkable for that kind of Madness, which common People will be apt to mistake for Divine Illumination, or else of cunning projecting Fellows, who have a Design upon Mens Pockets. This is a fair Intimation what Opinion he has, not only of all the present Ministers of the Gospel, but of the Prophets and Apostles. None but common People, that is, fuch as have little common Sense, did acknowledge their divine Illumination, as t'was Madness or a Cheat in them to pretend to it. O what horrid Blafpliemy is this.

But to come to the Comparison it self. The Supposition of such a Restraint of seeing, is ridiculous, his Representation of it is Nonsence, and both his Innuendoes and express Inferences, are unreasonable, unjust, and wicked, in Order to raise a Prejudice against the Christian Religion, and both the Author and Ministers of it, as combining together to put out Mens Eyes, to destroy their Senses, and to take away their Reason; and as if the Articles of the Christian Faith were like those absur'd and nonsensical Articles

of Eye-fight Faith, which he fets down.

Now

Now how unreasonable are these Aspersions and Infinuations? For as I have shewed before, true Free-thinking is so far from being forbidden, that it is commanded, and all reasonable Helps and Encouragements given to it. And though Faith be required, it is only in Things which cannot be feen, as the Belief of them is required upon the best Authority, even the Authority of God himself, which ought to be received as a Demonstration of the Truth. None can complain of Restraints, for all are allowed, yea, called upon to fee with their own Eyes, the Articles of their Faith written in clear Characters, in that Book which God by Miracles and Prophecies, and other undoubted Signs, has attested to be his, and the Declaration of his Will. So far all may, are allowed, yea, required to fee without taking any ones simple Word. And if after this they will not Believe, are they not. perverse, and unreasonably obstinate? What infolency would it be, in any one to be positive what was, or what could not be without his fight, because within his view, he could descern a Ball, a Square or Triangle, as well as any Man? And what Obstinacy and Impertinency would it be, to reject the Accounts of wife, judicious, and difintereffed Persons, concerning the Weather, the Fruits, Animals, and other Things under tie Line, or beyond the polar Circles, because, very different from what is here? And should Divine Revelation be discredited, because it contains Things which Eye hath not feen, nor Ear heard, and which otherwife could not have entred

entred into the Heart of Man? Nothing can be more unreasonable. And as this is the Free-thinking, which this Author pleads for, so it is the Duty and Interest of all wise and good Men to oppose it.

XXIII. As this Author has given a Similitude or Comparison from one Sense, so I will propose another from another Sense more to the Purpose. His is from Seeing, and mine shall be from Tasting. Have not all a right to Taste, as well as to See? And why should Tasting be Reffrain'd? Why should not one, if he has a Mind to it, use Hemlock instead of Parsley, Henbane for Turney, and take Rats bane for Sugar or Ginger? What reason to bind Persons up to the Opinions, Experience and Observation of Physicians, Chymists, and pretended Naturalists, who may be either Fools or Knaves, and fo either ignorantly or defignedly impose upon Mankind? Thus Free-Tasters may urge all the Reasons of Free-Seers, and resulte to take any ones Word, or to trust to any but themselves. And would it be no Kindness to offer to convince them, and even to restrain them? Or should they be let alone, till they had destroy'd themselves, and expos'd their Folly? I do not believe that our Author, and his fellow Free-thinkers, would complain much of being kept from poisoning them-felves, at least, not while they have a conceit of Life. But the Grievance which they complain of, and which makes them fo uneafie, is to oblige them to think of the Soul, and to take care of it. XXIII. P. 24.

XXIII. P. 24. There are these Words, I must needs fay how much soever I contend for Freefeeing, I cannot but commend the Consistency of the popish Policy, and prefer it to the Policy of certain half-witted Politicians, who draw People in with a Pretence of fair Play, by telling them they shall, and ought to see freely; whereas if they do not see with the Eyes of their Guides, but desire to see with their own, they then use them, not indeed so ill as the Papists, but as ill as they can, that is, as ill as that Degree of Ignorance and Stupidity which prevails will allow them to do. By Guides, no doubt he means the Clergy, but who are his balf-witted Politicians, they cannot be the Clergy too, for then t'would not be good Sense. Wherefore by them we must understand such as protect the Clergy, require People to pay Respect to their Persons, and to wait on their Ministry, viz. the Civil Judges of the Nation, and all other Rulers and Governors, nay, what he fays here is applicable to the Parliament, who indeed do not burn Persons in Smithfield, as in Queen Maries Days, but to maintain the Constitution, and their own Honour, do expel Afgils out of the House, and fometimes order the Books of other Friends of this Author to be burnt by the common Hangman. And who can tell, but that when they meet, they may have such just Resentments of this respectful Language of halfwitted Politicians, as to put the like Compliment upon him.

But further does it not clearly appear by this Passage, that according to his Notion, curbing

Atheism.

Atheism, censuring Blasphemies, remonstrating against Heresie and false Doctrine, defending the Constitution of Church and State, are all unreasonable Restraints and Discouragements of Freethinking. And consequently that both he himself, and the Society of Freethinkers in whose Favour he writes, have form'd a Design of breaking the Cords of Order and Government, of casting off all Respect to Authority, whether Divine or Human, and of introducing Hobbes his chimerical and fantastical State of Nature, which gives every one a Liberty both to do, and to think what he pleases: For doing freely, will soon follow such thinking freely; and would not the Nation then be in a happy Condition?

XXIV. P. 25, and 26. He argues the Absurdity of all Restraints to Free-thinking, from the unreasonableness of hindring one, to consider whether the Christian Religion be founded on Divine Revelation. And thus he deceives his Readers, as I observed before, by varying the Sense and Meaning of Free-thinking, making or representing it sometimes, as if 'twas a sawcy, insolent and obstinate Opposition, to all that is said or proposed by what Authority soever: And at other Times to import only an enquiry after Truth. In which last Sense he will have sew Adversaries at least amongst us of the Church of England. For a modest Enquiry into the Truth, is acknowledged to be both reasonable and necessary, because every one ought to be satisfied of the Truth of what he believes. And

it is a plain Precept of our Holy Religion, that all be ready to answer every one that asketh a Reason of the Hope that is in him, which supposeth that every one should be perswaded in his own Mind, and have good convincing Reasons for his Faith and Hope.

And as there are fuch Reasons, so it is not only fit, but necessary that all know them, that their Profession may be sincere from a full, free and hearty affent of the Mind, and that also they may hold the Profession of their Faith without wavering; for Stedfastness and Adherence do commonly answer Conviction. The influence of a Christian Faith and Hope, will not be great upon the Person, who is ignorant of the Evidence, and fure Foundation of them. that sees a sure, certain and clear Divine Revelation for all that is to be believed and hoped, will be stedfast, immoveable, and always abounding in every Work of the Lord, knowing that his Labour shall not be in vain. Wherefore it ought to be wished, that all would feriously look into the fure Foundation of the Christian Religion, which would be a doing themselves the greatest Kindness, as 'twould be a great Encouragement to our Ministry, for then our Instructions and Exhortations would be heard and receiv'd with devout Attention, which would render them more effectual. But how little does this Author encourage this ferious and necessary Enquiry, when he makes all pretext to Divine Revelation either Madness or a Cheat, from which it follows, that the Christian Religion has no Foundation at all. XXV

XXV. P. 27. The 5th Argument or Motive for Free-thinking, is, the great Benefit of it to destroy the Devils Kingdom among Men, whereas the other means employ'd against him, such as casting him out miraculously, &c. have often encreas'd, but never wholly destroy'd his Power. This is furprifing. Strange! That the Devil should be more afraid of Free-thinkers, than of a miraculous Power, and that he should be able to Refift the one, but dare not Face the other. But fays our Author, it is Matter of Fact, of which all past Ages, as well as the present, may convince us. And the Proof of this is, that the profecution and burning of Witches cease or take Place, as Free-thinking is discouraged or allowed. This Man has a prodigious Affurance, but like others of that Quality, does not much regard either the Truth of his Affertions, or the Exactness of his Reasoning: For both the Matter of Fact, and the Inference he draws from it may be denyed.

First, It is not true, that the Prosecution of Witches has ceased, where Free-thinking has been encouraged and allow'd. I suppose what none will deny, that Free-thinking in this Authors Sense, had as great Encouragement, and did as much prevail during Olivers Usurpation, and from the Beginning of the Parliaments Rebellion, as ever before or since, either here or any where else. And yet then Witches were burn'd, not that I believe because they did then more abound, but from a wicked Policy of the Free-thinkers of these Times, to be thought zea-

U

lous against the Devil, while they followed his Counsel and Instigation. I have been told, that in these pious Free-thinking Times, there happen'd to be a great encrease of Poor, particularly in the Island of Guernsey, which was very burdensom to the Inhabitants: For remedying this, a Prosecution of Witches was set as a fet as a Prosecution of Witches was set as a fet as a Prosecution of the fuppressing of the Poor; for the old Men and Women disappear'd of a suddain, and chused to suffer Want within Doors, rather than to venture Burning abroad. So that it appears, that Free-thinkers can burn Persons for Witches, if it may serve a Turn.

But suppose that the Prosecution of Witches always ceased, where Free-thinkers ruled, will it follow, that then there are no Witches at all? Is not Theft and Murder committed, when they are not punished? Is it a certain Proof, that the Land and People are Innocent, because Judges and Rulers are remiss and careless to execute the Laws? This is the Manner of this Mans Reasoning, which requires new Rules of Logick to

be received.

Neither does it follow, that the Devils Kingdom must be destroyed, because there are no Instances of Witches, nor of the Prosecution of them. For Witcherast is but one Branch of his Kingdom, and one Instance of his Power. His Kingdom prospers, and his Power prevails by Atheism, Saducism, and Insidelity, which are delectable to such Free-thinkers as our Author; and therefore the cunning old Serpent sorbears to shew himself among them, by Witchcraft, Pos-

seffions and Obsessions, because these would open their Eyes, and convince them of these Errors which confign them to his eternal Dominion. But these Gentlemen are ignorant of Satans Devices. They do not consider, that the God of this World blinds the Minds of them that believe not, lest the Light of the glorious Gospel of Christ, who is the Image of God, should shine unto them. They boast of being wiser than others, because not so much disturb'd by the Devil, never reflecting that they are let alone to lull them asleep in their Errors and evil Courses, till they drop into his Power, out of which there is no Redemption. * Make the Heart of this People fat, and make their Eyes heavy, and shut their Eyes: Lest they see with their Eyes, and hear with their Ears, and understand with their Heart, and convert and be healed. This Author and his Companions do not fear this Sentence of a Divine Arrest to final Judgment, but it may be apprehended, as they have given just Occasion for it, even in this Passage, which prefers their Free-thinking to the casting out the Devil by that miraculous Power which was in Jesus Christ, and which he gave to his Apostles and his Disciples, to demonstrate that he came from God, and that the Power and Spirit of God were with him. This was such undeniable Evidence, that nothing but wicked perverseness of Mind, could refuse or asperse it, as did some of the Jews then, who therefore were charged with that unpardonable Sin against the Holy Ghost, which by this and some other Passages this Author is guilty of; And as they are blasphemous Reflections upon Christ and his Gospel, so they should be read and heard with the utmost Indignation and Abhorrency.

XXVI. P. 28. It is faid, Thus the Devil is banish'd entirely the United Provinces, where Free-thinking is in the greatest Perfection. Whether the Devil is banish'd these Provinces, is not a proper Dispute, and I will not at this Time venture upon it. But that Free-thinking in his Sense, and as he practises it, is there in the greatest Persection, is so notoriously false, that nothing can be more. And I leave it to others to judge, whether the publishing such a false Account of a Place, so near and so well known, is to be ascrib'd to Ignorance or Impudence. But fuch Free-thinking as this Author would fet up, is not allowed there at all. There are indeed no torturing Methods to force Persons to speak their Thoughts, and so while they are kept within their own Breafts, they are fafe. But if they are fo bold, as to vent Thoughts difagreeable and đangerous to the Constitution, or which cast Reproaches on Religion in General, or that which is establish'd there, and incorporated with the State; if this be done either by Discourses in Coffee-Houses, or by Writing, they are presently taken notice of, curb'd and chastis'd: So that the Dutch are scandalized at the License given here, to fpeak and write against both the Government and Religion.

to

It is true, that different Sects are tolerated in the United Provinces, nor could it be avoided, because their Liberty from the Spanish Yoke was retrieved by the joint Concurrence of all them. But the Government and Administration of Affairs, are only in the Hands of those called Calvinists, tho' they are not the most Numerous: And besides Jews, they tolerate only profess'd Christians, neither Atheists ror Socinians. Even the last must disguise themselves, and walk under the Mask of Arminians or Anahaptists, or else quit the Country. There was lately a Sest of Freethinkers, under the Name of Hebreans, who fet up at Amsterdam, but were suppress'd by the Magistrate there. Nor is there such Liberty of the Press in Holland, as here. Books against the State or Religion, bear all the Name of Cologne; and if they be very Pernicious, they that fell them are punish'd, as well as the Authors if found. I have feen a Bookfellers Shop shut up for felling fuch. Monsieur Baile was turn'd out of his Professorship in Roterdam for his Freethinking, and had a Cenfure put upon his Dictionary. And I am very confident, if this Discourse of Free-thinking had been publish'd in Holland, the Author had been both fin'd and banish'd the Provinces, or confin'd to the Rasp-House, as some others have been, for Life, or a certain Number of Years, from which his Estate could not have redeem'd him. If this Account is not credited, and that the Author still persists in his Opinion, that Free-thinking is in Perfection, in the United Provinces, let him write to some of his Friends, D 3

to know what Indulgence has been shewed to the Spinozist Minister of Swol for the Book he wrote, which was written more artfully, and better disguized, than this Discourse of Freethinking. And who knows not, that poor Mr. Becker was deprived of his Ministry, for thinking and writing all Devils away but One.

XXVII. The like Mistake he has committed P. 102. concerning the Turks, whom he reprefents to be Free-thinkers, and Friends to Freethinking, whereas the contrary is manifest. For they only tolerate the Christians for the benefit of a Tribute of Money and Children. But the Chriflians dare not attempt to make Converts. And as for the Turks themselves, they will not, neither are allowed to think freely of Mahomet, or the Alcoran. All means of discovering the Errors of either, are taken away; for there is neither Printing, nor Books among them. And as the Examiner has truly observed, Apostacy from their Religion, Profunction of their Mosques, and even Blasphemy against Christ, whom they Reverence as a great Prophet, is punish'd with Death. By these two Instances, we may see how falfely one may think and write, who does not consider, neither will observe nor inquire after good Information.

XXVIII. P. 32. He begins Sect. 11. with the Subjects of Free-thinking, viz. the Nature and Attributes of the evernal Being or God, the Truth and Authority of Books esteem'd Sacred, and the Sense and Meaning of these Books, and in one Word

Word religious Questions. Certainly these are material Points, and so most worthy of our Meditation. If by the Enemies of Free-thinking, he means our Church and Clergy, as there is reason to believe, he is very injurious to say, that they deny Persons the Right to think of them; for the contrary is evident by the daily writing and publishing religious Treatifes, for engaging them to think of these Matters. But as the Right of Thinking of them is not denyed, so he can have no Sense or Notion of them, who does not acknowledge a Necessity of thinking upon them with all Seriousness, and with all Humility. With all Seriousness, because of the greatest Importance, our present Peace, and future Happiness depending on the Knowledge of them; and with all Humility, because they are fublime, and some of them incomprehensible by either Sense, or meer natural Reason. As for Instance, the Nature and Attributes of God are Infinite, and therefore always beyond the reach of our greatest and clearest Apprehension; which makes it a great and unreasonable Presumption in any, by his own Senfe and Reason alone, to offer to determine politively and peremptorily, all Particulars relating to them: For this would be the measuring an Infinite, by a Finite. In like Manner, it is evident, that the Knowledge of Gods Counfels and Purpofes can only come from himself, for nothing can be more reasonable than that of St. Paul, What Man knoweth the Things of a Man, save the Spirit of Man, which is in him? Even so the Things of God knoweth no Man, but the Spirit of God. That is, as none

can know the inward Thoughts of another, unless he some way or other impart them; so it is impossible to know Gods Purposes, Counsels and Contrivances, except he reveal them, and only fo far as he reveals them. This therefore requires a careful attention unto Divine Revelation, and also an humble Disposition to receive all that certain Revelation declares, however surprifing or aftonishing it may be. For certainly he thinks of himself more highly than he ought to think, who believes he is able to fathom all the Divine Counfels, or that God cannot act without the reach of his Understanding, neither could have intended any Thing that was not perceptible to contemplative Men. As it makes Revelation in a great measure superfluous, if it did only discover what Sense, Reason, and common Observation could have found out. If Perfons think thus of God; Revelation, and the feveral Matters contained in the facred Books of Scripture, they think without Judgment, and shall not be the wifer by their Thinking. But if they resolve to think, as was said above with Seriousness and true Humility, they may, and the Priviledge shall not be denyed them.

XXIX. What is faid P. 33, and 34. about Opinions, is dubious, and there is a Passage out of Mr. Chillingworth as dubious, I suppose brought in defence of a common Tenet among Free-thinkers, that Errors in Judgment are not culpable. I have not Mr. Chillingworth's Book by me, and so cannot at present clear nor vindicate his Sentiments.

timents. But for stating this Point right, I offer these Things. 1st. That every one is answerable, only for what he may and should know. 2 dly, That all are obliged to use the Means for knowing the Truth, not only seriously, but sincerely, for I make a Difference betwixt these Two. 3 dly, That all necessary Truths are obvious, and must be evident to them who use the Means, and endeavour fincerely to know them.

Athly, That Ignorance of what God has proposed and does require to be believed, only thro' neglect of the Means, or an unfincere use of them, is not at all excuseable, but a very great Sin; for it is a Contempt of God's Authority, and a slighting his holy Will and Pleasure. 5thly. That either the disbelieving what appears plainly revealed, because mysterious, unconceivable, and inexplicable by human Reason or common Notions, or the entertaining contrary Sentiments, is contradicting God, and as heinous a Sin as any Point of Disobedience can be: For the Homage of our Understandings is due to God, as well as that of our Wills; so that it is equally rebellious to refuse the One, as to deny the Other; that is, to disbelieve what He fays, as to disobey what He commands. I have handled this in one of the Discourses annexed to Right Notions of God and Religion, to which I refer the Reader.

XXX. P. 35. He has an Invective against Superstition, drawn from Tully and Horace, who are his facred Writers. Now Superstition I know none will plead for; but is not the other Extreme

of Profanity and Irreligion as much to be avoided? For as the one is the Effect of ignorance and weakness of Mind, so the other is from perverseness and want of Consideration, which is as much, if not more pernicious. These Extremes do support one another, and the Partisans of both are Enemies to folid Religion, which is the true Medium betwixt them. The fuperstitious Man counts all Profane that are not as himself, tho' he deceives himself too, by minding only external and leffer Matters. So the profane Person reckons all superstitious who shew any Sense of God and Religion, as it is too apparent that this Author The Cure of Superstition, is true folid Knowledge, to discern the Nature of Things, their different Ends and Uses, and to give every Thing its Place. And the ferious Confideration of all this will make one asham'd of Profanity and Irreligion, which is an unconcernedness with the best Things, and so no less ignorant and unreasonable as the making no difference at all, but laying equal Stress on the meanest Things as the greatest, which is the true Notion of Superstition. And as the superstitious Person is under Dread and Terrours more than there is Caufe for; fo the profane Person will not fear when there is a reasonable Cause, which is a soolish Hardiness, as much to be despised as the other.

XXXI. What he fays, P. 37. might have been excufable, and paffed as a ferious Thought, if the Man had been so unfortunate, as to have been born in the dark Night of Heathenism, neither

ther ever heard of a more Divine Person than Virgil, nor seen a better Book than his Poems, or any Thing sitter to give the true Knowledge of God or of his Will concerning Mankind. But now it is only Atheism in a grave Disguise, to preser Heathenism to Christianity, to beget a Contempt of these several Revelations contained in Scripture, to set aside the Instructions of the Prophets, Apostles, yea, of Jesus Christ, whom God the Father has evidently sealed, and to put it into Peoples Heads, that Virgil and other Heather Authors are as useful as they to discover such Things, as ought to be believed concerning God and our selves.

None can accuse this Charge of uncharitableness, when he reads in the End of the Paragraph
the tacite Reflections on Divine Institutions, and
how in the Close of the next Page, viz. 38. He
has in derision those superstitious Men, as he calls
them, who make God talk to all Mankind from
Corners, and consequently require Things of Men
under the sanction of Misery in the next World,
of which they are uncapable of having any convincing Evidence that they come from him. They
make him to have favourite Nations and People,
without any consideration of Merit, and to put other Nations under Disadvantages without any
Demerit.

Is not all this flat Opposition to Scripture? Is not this to deny those authentick Revelations which the Jewish and Christian Church have received? For what is more evident from the History of Scripture, than that God did speak to Mankind

Free-Thinking Rightly Stated.

in some particular Places, which he in mockery calls Corners? And what is plainer than that the Jews of old were God's peculiar People; as the Christian Church is now? * He sheweth his Word unto Jacob, his Statutes and Judgments unto Ifrael. He hath not dealt so with any Nation, and as for his Judgments, they have not known them. And must this be reflected on as abfurd? because the Conduct does not please, neither is understood by rash, inconsiderate and felf-conceited Thinkers. What prefumption to fay unto God, what dost thou? What Infolency in poor Mortals to presume to prescribe Measures to the Almighty Soveraign of the World? Should not Men whose Understandings at present are very much confin'd and pent up, I fay, should not they be asham'd to take upon them to examine the Councils of Infinite Wisdom, and to pass Verdicts and Cenfures upon them? This Author and his Affociates, if they believe a God must have but mean and false Notions of him, they cannot believe that he is Righteous in all his Ways, Holy in all his Works. They are so conversant with Heathen Authors, and have their Heads so full of the Stories of their false Gods, that they are uncapable of just Notions of the true God, or of thinking better of the God of the Christians. And feeing they take this Liberty with plain Divine Revelations, and with the undeniable and uncontraverted Points of Sacred History, we have good Reason to think that they are as bold with

all the undoubted Manifestations of God, and do not even fpare the Creation it felf. But fay also within themselves, and to one another, as Alphonfus King of Spain blasphemously did, that if they had been at the making of the World, they would have advised it better. Sure they must be displeased with the Suns rising in the East and fetting in the West, and being confin'd betwixt the Tropicks. They can have no Opinion of the Creation, because vast Tracts of Land are cast into the Extremity of Cold, and are fix Months without the Light of the Sun, which renders them altogether Unhabitable. And they must make all a Chance, because there are so many Defarts, defolate and barren Places, and that all Countries do not abound alike with Necessaries and Comforts. Every Thing that puzzles them, every Thing which they cannot comprehend must be exploded and laugh'd at. O wife and modest Thinkers! How worthy of all Encouragement?

But to come to these Divine Dispensations, which this Author insults and turns into Ridicule, they that are full of themselves, wise and prudent in their own Eyes, are not capable of discerning them: But they that have Reverent, and suitable Thoughts of God, do perceive all of them worthy of God, as of our Praise and Admiration. It cannot be denyed, but that God did only speak in old Time to particular Persons, in particular Places; but 'twas not for the Benefit of those particular Persons alone, nor yet of those others in that particular Corner of the World, but of all Mankind; and therefore

he wisely contrived it, that the Sound went into all the Earth, and the Words unto the End of the World. So that all have had more or less Opportunity of Hearing, and so are more or less guilty, for not knowing the holy Will of God. It is also true by Scripture, that the Son of God became Man, to purchase unto himself a peculiar People. And yet the Divine Goodness is not restrain'd, neither can God be charged with Partiality, feeing the Terms and Conditions of being Gods Favourite and beloved People, are offer'd to all, and all Nations, and all in every Nation, may claim the Priviledge, and also lay hold on it for their present and eternal Comfort. If a larger Satisfaction about these Matters be desired, it may be had in a late Discourse I have published, Entituled, Salvation the peculiar Priviledge of the Church.

XXXII. P.40. He says there have been infinite Numbers of Pretenders in all Ages to Revelation from Heaven, Supported by Miracles, which makes Thinking absolutely necessary, if a Man be obliged to listen to any Revelation at all. What an Innuendo is in this last Clause? Does it not infinuate, that there may be no Obligation to listen to any Revelation, and if fo, thinking on them is not necessary, because it is no Matter of Moment, what is true, or what is false. The Errata requires this to be expunged. But this is meer jugling, for he had this Thought when he writ this Passage, it is agreeable to the whole Strain of the Book, and if he had really seen his Error here, he would also made

an Errata of all that follows. And can any doubt but that 'twas to inforce the Suggestion of this Clause, that he quotes a Passage from the Reverend Dr. Claget, making all extraordinary Pretences to Miracles, immediate Revelation and Inspiration, to be just Grounds of Suspicion, and Reasons for not believing.

Now though I never faw the Book out of which this Passage is taken, yet I am confident it is either falfly quoted, or perverted to another Purpose, than that which that Reverend Divine intended. For he would not, neither could speak fo flightly of Miracles and Revelation in general. For if there be any Reason to listen to any Thing, it is to that which is attested by undoubted Miracles, as there is all Reason to believe, what clear and certain Revelation declares. For that must be true which comes from God, and also it is worthy of all Acceptation, nay, it is every ones strict Duty to receive it, unless one will venture to deny the Duty of honouring God, which cannot be done without difowning our Creation, our Preservation, or the having any manner of Dependance on God, for any Thing whatfoever.

Wherefore there can be no doubt, but that these Words of Dr. Claget, are to be referr'd only to modern Pretenders to Miracles, Revelation, and immediate Inspiration. And in that Respect they are strictly true. For all Pretenders to these, since the Days of the Apostles, and all that shall arise hereafter, and make a Noise of these Things, are either downright Impostors,

postors, or under the gross Delusions of Satan, and therefore to be rejected whatever they Teach. For the Gospel is given as the last Revelation, its Doctrine, Precepts and Institutions, shall a-bide unalterably till the coming of Jesus Christ, the glorious Author of it, to judge the World according to it. Therefore as St. Paul says, whosoever teacheth another Gospel, that is, any Thing as from God, and in this Name, either contrary to this, or different from it, he is not to be believed, whether Angel or Man, but ought to be accursed as a false Prophet, and Emissary of Satan.

And as there can be no true Divine Revelation, different from the Christian Religion; so neither is there any extraordinary Manifestation of the Truth of this to be expected, because neither reasonable nor necessary. For God has sufficiently demonstrated and attested the Truth of the Gospel, and the Evidence of these Divine Demonstrations and Attestations, continue to this Day, and shall continue to the End of the World, as they are apparent to all that are reasonable and well-disposed to receive them. So that the asking more Evidences, can come only from fuch perverseness as the Jews were guilty of, when they demanded a Sign of our Lord, while he was working Miracles among them.

It is true, a glorious Day is expected, which will bring in the remainder of the Jews, and the fulness of the Gentiles: But though I will not be so bold as to determine the precise Time when, nor the particular Means how fuch a great and desirable Change shall be wrought, yet as I have

faid

faid elsewhere, it may be brought about without new Revelations or Miracles. And because I do not, neither can find the least intimation of such Things yet to be, in all the * New Testament, but rather an Intimation of the contrary, I therefore do not look for any such Thing. And as the Expectation does appear to me altogether groundless, so the entertaining it does only en-

courage Impostures and Delusions.

As I heartily wish, and do hope, that the Gospel or Christian Religion may spread over the Face of the Earth, and also be effectual whereever it is preached, that is, having a true afcendant over the Minds of Men, fo as to become the prevailing Principle of all their Designs and Actions; so this may happen, as the Reformation from the Errors and Idolatry of the Church of Rome, which was effectuated without new Revelations or Miracles, or extraordinary immediate Inspirations. Before that Time, a careless unconcernedness for Truth, was every where indulged, which encouraged Errors and Impostures: But then God by his wife Providence, brought publick Affairs into that Juncture, as oblig'd Princes to regard Religion, as well as their Temporal Interests, and to give a more favourable Countenance to those who did bear Witness to the Truth; as the Circumstances of private Persons by that Juncture of publick Affairs did dispose them to listen to these Witnesses, and to receive

^{*} See Discourse annexed to right Notions of God and Religion.

their Information. The Perfecutions also which were then, like those upon the first preaching of the Gospel, did rowse up People, and put them upon Enquiries after the Truth, and when-ever that is done, the Truth will prevail. Thus that Reformation was begun, and prospered so far, and it would have advanced farther, if the prejudice of Temporal Interest had not been in the way. And so God by his wise and wonderful Providence, may yet give such a surprising Turn to all Human Affairs, as will remove the present Obstacles to both the outward Progress, and the inward Efficacy of the Gospel, by opening the Eyes of all, to behold the Truth and Excellency of it, which once duly considered, and laid to heart, would force an Assent.

I would not have any to think, by what I have faid on this Head, that I pretend to have penetrated into the Divine Counfels, or am confident of what God will, or will not do for accomplishing of his Purposes. This would be great Presumption, from which God preserve me. I only desire to cure and check a forward and dangerous Disposition, in some ferious and well-meaning People, to follow Pretenders to new Light, Illumination, Revelation, or extraordinary Inspiration, which do but harden some Men in their Instidelity and Prejudices against the Revelation of the Gospel: And seeing they are neither foretold nor promised, and that God, as has been shewed, can, when his Time cometh, do the desirable Work without them; therefore all Pretenders to these Things, are to be more suspect-

fuspected, and less believed than others. And I am perswaded, that the Reverend Dr. Claget meant no more by these Words, which was the Occasion of this long Digression.

XXXIII. The Paragraph P.41. about the Sa. ciety for propagating the Gospel in Foreign Parts, though cautiously worded, does discover pretty plainly his inward Sense of the Christian Religion. For could any who believed the Truth of Christianity, or who had a just Esteem for it, I say, could such a one think it as great a Favour to have the Talapoins, or Priests of Siam, come hither to preach their Heathenism, as 'twould be to them to have Preachers of the Gof-pel fent thither? And must he not have as little an Opinion of our Religion, as of the Religion of Siam, who says, that these Talapoins ought to have the same Encouragement from us, that true Missionaries or Preachersof the Gospel ought to have in Siam. By the same Encouragement, is not meant civil and human Treatment, for that is not to be denyed to the greatest Barbarians; but it is an Encouragement proper and suitable to fuch as pretend to teach the Truth, viz. a ferious attentive Ear, and a Disposition to be convinc'd by them. And should Talapoins, or any other that come from an ignorant Heathenpart of the World, have fuch Encouragement from us? Can this be done without departing from the Faith, and forgetting that we have received the fure and infallible Word of God? They that are in doubt of the Truth, and in E 2

fearch after it, neither know what Party of Men have the best Pretences, I say, they may and ought to hear and try all: But when the Truth is known, should it he given up! After sufficient Conviction, should Men hearken to those we are fure are in Ignorance and Error? If the Gospel be true, there is no other Religion true or acceptable to God, besides the Christian, and all the Heathen World are in Darkness, and without God. How then can any who believe this, hearken to what Heathers fay? Is not this to go against Conviction, and to sin wilfully against the Truth? And is it not fomewhat Strange, and altogether Sad and Deplorable, that there should be some here so blind, as not to see the Light of the Gospel, or so perverse, as not to acknowledge the Advantage, Excellency and Comfort of it, and who not only think, but prefume to write, that the groffest Heathenism may deserve Encouragement, as well as Christianity, and that a Project to bring ignorant Talapoins hither, is highly reasonable, and perfectly of a piece with that of endeavouring to convert Heathens from their Errors, to the Knowledge of the true God, and of Jesus Christ, whom to know is Life Eternal.

XXXIV. P. 46. He reassumes his beloved Topick about Priests and their Conduct. He has had all along a sling at them: But from this Place, he expatiates and draws in all he can to represent them odious. This is the Secret of the Book, and the chief Motive of Writing it, and some

fome others; and it very well answers the Defign of advancing Atheism and Irreligion, to endeavour to bring even the Ministers of true Re-

ligion into Contempt.

I am neither surpris'd nor uneasse at these Reproaches, as I hope neither are my Brethren; feeing we have been forewarned of them, and are affured, that they shall not hurt us. From the Beginning, He that was born after the Flesh, persecuted him that was born after the Spirit, even so it is now. Blessed are ye, saith our Lord, when Men shall revile you, and persecute you, and shall say all manner of Evil against you falsely for my sake. Rejoice and be exceeding glad, for great is your Reward in Heaven, for so persecuted they the Prophets which were before you. The Prophets were mocked by the Sons of Belial in their Time, who reputed them Madfellows. The Apostles were held as the Off-scouring of all Things, and how should it surprise us to be often abused, seeing we are far short of their Gifts and Graces? And fo I could willingly pass over all these Reproaches and Reslections without making a Reply, if our own Credit and personal Interest were only concerned. But feeing it is our Duty to correct and reprove all Sin, and that this of despising Priests, and of vilifying their Office, is fet forth in Scripture as no fmall one, because reflecting on both the Honour and Wisdom of God, and what has provok'd his Wrath to fevere Punishments, as may be feen, II Chron. xxxvi. 16, 17. · E 2

And feeing too, that this Sin is at this Time a little catching, for the humour of the prefent Age runs too much upon it; and there are too many who too often make a Sport of abusing the Clergy, tho' they have not as yet cast off all Sense of God and Religion, and are not so irreclaimable as the Author of this Discourse under Examination. I shall therefore for these Reasons, take notice of what this Man saith on this Matter, and shall very sairly and calmly propose, that which may convince any one of the Unjustice of his Reproaches, and of the Falseshood of his Reason

nings.

First, He throws his Reproaches upon all that bear the Name of Priests in general, and makes no Distinction betwixt True and False, Good and Bad, Christian and Heathen. I know he can and will avouch a known Saying for it, but of whom, not of an Oracle. Indeed of a good Poet, but as Atheistical and Mercenary an one, as ever wrote. I will not fay he never spoke Truth, but certainly he never regarded it. He only minded what would please his Party, and make for them. Nor can I forbear to fay, that it is a great Reflection on the Sense and Solidity of this Nation, that they are too often shaken by Poetry without Truth, and by Flights of Wit which have no Truth, and but little of good Sense in them, as in this, that Priests of all Religion are the fame. For, what can be more unjust than this? Should all be abused, because some deserve it? At this rate, all Ranks from the highest to

the

the lowest, and all Professions whatsoever, may be represented Odious and Ridiculous. And it is a good Proof, that the Europeans are not better than the Hotentots, because both are Men. So the most polite and civilized People must be accounted barbarous and brutish, without Arts and Sciences, Breeding and common Sense, because there are in the World whole Nations of whom such Things may be said, who are very well pleas'd with themselves, and do think that they are right.

Secondly, As it is but just and reasonable to distinguish the Clergy of the Christian Church, from Heathen Priests; so it is neither just nor reasonable, to make the personal Faults of some, the ground of a Libel against all. There have been always, and still are among the Christian Clergy, Persons eminent as for outward Quality and Birth; fo for Education and good Breeding, Learning and natural Parts, Virtue and Piety, and other praise-worthy Things, as much as among any other Set of Men whatfoever, which makes a contempt of the Order in general very unreafonable, to fay no worse of it. Why a Clergyman, having those good Qualities for which others in other Stations are defervedly efteem'd, fhould be despis'd, is unaccountable. That a Gown and Habit should lessen real Merit; that human Infirmities, innocent Weaknesses, and little Humours, should be excusable in one fort of Men, but odious in another, are not from Reafon, but from Partiality and Prejudice, which no modest Person will venture to defend.

Thirdly, Whatever may be thought of the Men abstractly, yet there is all Reason to pay some Honour and Respect to them, for their Order and Office sake, which are of Divine Institution, as any that is not blinded with Prejudice, may clearly see both in the Old and New Testament. Wherefore to despise the Order and Office, or Persons for the Order and Office sake, is indeed to despise God himself, to insult his Authority, and to impeach his Wisdom, which

are Crimes every one should be afraid of.

Fourtbly, As the Divine Institution of the Order and Office, should skreen the Priests and Ministers of the Gospel from Contempt, so the End and Uses of the Institution is a solid Reason for having them in due Esteem, and for giving them all fuitable Encouragement. For the Evangelical Ministry or Priesthood aims at a greater Good, than all other Orders and Offices what soever, and the Benefit of it goes beyond this Life, whereas the Use and Benefit of all other are confin'd to it. This is the Means which the Wifdom of God has appointed for communicating and preserving the Knowledge of himself and Will, for curing the depravation of Human Nature, for conveying his Grace and Spirit, and for bringing Men to eternal Life. * For after that, in the Wisdom of God, the World by Wisdom knew not God, it pleased God by the foolishness of preaching, to fave them that believe. Again it is faid, The gave some Apostles, and some Prophets,

^{* 1} Cor.i. 21. † Ephes. iv. 11, &c.

and some Evangelists, and some Pastors and Teachers, for the perfecting the Saints, for the work of the Ministry, for the edifying the Body of Christ, till we all come in the unity of the Faith and Knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect Man, unto the Measure of the Stature of the fulness of Christ. Now if the Ministry be, by the special appointment of God, the ordinary by the special appointment of God, the ordinary Means of Grace, Knowledge, Holmess and Perfection here, and of Salvation and eternal Life hereafter, should the Ministry be despised? Or is it good Sense for any to do it, that pretends to have a value for these Things, or to aim at them? I wish there was less reason to speak against some particular Men, but to speak against the Office, can never be justified. And to have it in Derifion, is both an affront upon God, and an open Contempt of these great spiritual and eternal Bleflings, which God offers to us by this means. A true Sense, and sincere Desire of these, will be always followed with a due Respect to those who have the dispensing the Means. And if this be wanting, the other may be concluded wanting As certainly the Custom of despising the Clergy, will at last introduce a Contempt of the Ministry it felf, and also of all that is either proposed or conveyed by it. So that they who as yet abhor Atheisin and Irreligion, and dread either the falling into it themselves, or of being the Instruments of promoting it among others, ought to be most shy of throwing Contempt upon the Clergy.

58 Free-Thinking Rightly Stated. Fiftbly, As the having the Clergy in Contempt and Derifion, discourageth such from taking the Office upon them, as are most proper to keep up the Dignity, and to answer the End and Uses of it; so when the Clergy happen to be really Contemptible, it is no Matter for Jesting, nor a sit Subject for sport and profune Mirth. It is truly Matter of Mourning, and should alarm People, that both outward and spiritual Judgments are approaching. For certainly it is a Prefage of them, if there be any Credit to be given to Scripture, or any Measure to be taken from either its Precepts or Examples. For it is obvious to every ferious and fincere Observer of holy Scripture, that the Priests and Ministers of Religion, are appointed as for other Ends and Uses, so to be a kind of Intercessors betwixt God and the People, to deprecate his Wrath and just Displeafure, and to turn away Judgments which are threatned and deserved. Then the Priests, the Ministers of the Lord, should weep before the Altar, and say, spare thy People O Lord, and give not thine Inheritance to Reproach, upon which God does promise to pity bis People. But if they who should stand in the Gap are not able, if they who should intercede, are them-

ble, if they who should intercede, are themfelves most obnoxious, how deplorable is the Case of that People? Further, does not our Lord call his Ministers the Light of the World, and the Salt of the Earth. Now if the Light should

prove Darkness, how great must be the Darkness throughout? And if the Salt should lose its savour, as it is then good for nothing but to be

cast out, how then should that be preserved, which was to have been falted?

Let Prejudices be laid aside, and let both the present State of the World be viewed impartially, and also the History of past Times duly confidered, and 'twill appear that not only Piety and Virtue, but Knowledge, Sense and Learning keep pace with the Clergy. It would be Impudent, as well as Injurious, to confine these Things to the Clergy, or to deny others an equal Capacity of them, and even as large a share of them when they endeavour it, and are refolv'd upon it. But so it is de facto, that now and always the Priests or Clergy were the Standard, for knowing whether these Things flourished, or

were in decay.

I shalladd but one Thing more upon this Head, viz. that commonly it is the fate of the best Clergy to be treated worst, and most abused. St. Paul's Entertainment among the Corinthians, is an Instance, and also an Historical Type, or Emblematical Glass, for representing to those who walk in his Spirit, and who endeavour to follow his Steps, what they may expect. St. Paul was an Apostle, and not behind the chiefest of the Apostles in Gifts, Graces, and all suitable Qualifications for the Work of the Miniflry: Nor was he less zealous, for he laboured as much as any, yea, he laboured more abundantly than they all; nor was there the least ground for suspecting him of any similtrous End, for he resused even that which was reasonable, and which he might have taken lawfully, for ma-

king

king good his Motto, I feek not yours, but you. In a word, He did very gladly spend, and was still ready to be spent for them. Now how was he requited? Even the more abundantly be loved, the less he was loved, they undervalued him, and counted his bodily Presence weak, and his Speech Contemptible. Whereas at the same Time they suffered Fools gladly, as he upbraided them; for he tells them plainly, ye suffer if a Man bring you into Bondage, if a Man devour you, if a Man take of you, if a Man exalt himself, if a Man smite you on the Face. For so did the salse Teachers serve them, whom yet they

encourag'd.

How applicable is this to the Church and Clergy of England? Take her according to her Constitution, no Church so modest and reasonable. She pretends to no Infallibility, she assumes no Sovereign Power, she fets up no Rabbies, nor has any private Mans Person in excessive Admiration, as is done almost among all other Parties. Church has been always illustrious in her Clergy, who were never behind any of their Contemporaries, without exception. And fince the Reformation, there have been no where Persons more eminent for true Piety, for folid Learning, for good Sense, for strenuous Reasoning, and for perswasive Eloquence. Nor can it be faid, that they Lord it over the People, or treat them Magifterially, as others do, who make a falfe Shew of more Humility. For some are not contented, unless Reason be laid aside, and they followed with implicit Faith: They do not take their People

People by the Hand to guide them, but use a despotick Authority. Our Pulpits are raised for the conveniency of Speaking and Hearing; but others use theirs as Chairs of infallible Authority, and absolute Power, which must not be contradicted. We are taught and commanded by our Preaching, fo to divide the Word of God aright, by diffinguishing carefully what is Necesfary, what is Fundamental, and what is enjoin'd as subservient Helps and Means, that every one may see the Nature and Reason of their Duty, and fo that their Religion may be a reasonable Service, and not a blind Superstition. But there are others, who make a heavy Yoke of every Thing, and do impose with all Rigour, their own political Devices, to maintain their Party and Interest. By the Practice of the Church of England, it is shameful to come up to the Pulpit, without any Thing that is not well premeditated and fuitable to the Occasion: Whereas others make bawling and noify fervent Nonsence, good Preaching. In a Word, the Clergy of this Church do generally, as it is required of them, treat their People as Men, who should see with their own Eyes, hear with their own Ears, and understand with their Hearts; whereas most others use their Followers as Children, and even worse. And yet all this is requited with Contempt, Reproaches, and odious Characters, as if our Church was the worst, and our Clergy generally the vilest of any. No Clergy have less Encouragement from the People of their own Communion, nor are any more virulently attack'd

attack'd by Adversaries, especially the Enemies of all Religion. Now the Reason why we are so much more the Object of their Spite and Malice, is, because we give them the greatest Refistance? They throw out the foulest Reproaches upon us, to obstruct the Efficacy of our Teaching, and to divert People from the Consideration of the Truth of our Doctrine, the Excellency of our Worship and Constitution, which once received, would force Atheism and Irreligion to hide themselves: For they know by Experience, that raising Prejudices against Persons, will beget a Prejudice against all that is recommended by them, though never so good in it self. A Scripture Proof will not have weight enough with Free-thinkers, I shall therefore conclude this Point with a Saying of Phutarch. Envy and Detraction and Prejudice, are in no Cafe good, but always a great Impediment to what is so; yet no where worse, than when they are made the Bosom-friends and Counsellors of an Hearer, because they represent the best Things to him, as unpleasant and impertinent, and Men in such Circumstances are pleased with nothing less, than what best deserves their Applause.

XXXV. Having begun a general Libel against the Clergy, he proceeds to particular Proofs, P. 47. The first of which is variety of Opinions, by which Priests throughout the Universe are endlefly divided. He still puts the Christian Clergy,

^{*} Plutarch's Discourse of Hearing.

or Ministers of the Gospel, in the same Class with Pagan Priests, for making them alike Contemptible. But to let that pass. It is not denyed but that there are different Opinions among the Clergie, which is Reason for a fair, serious Examination; but it is no Reason for entertaining any Prejudice either against the Clergy or Religion, as this Author intends it.

First, Variety of Sentiments ought not to be objected against the Clergie, as this Man does here, and in other Places; nor is it a reafonable ground of Prejudice against them. For there is no less variety of Opinions and Sentiments among all other Parties of Mankind. Philosophers, Historians, and Physicians, differ as widely from one another. Lawyers not only contradict one another at the Bar, when they are under the Obligation of Fees: But also in Books, when they are at Liberty to speak freely, and to utter their genuine undifguifed Thoughts. Nay, even Mathematicians, who go upon Demonstration, are not all of the same Mind, but have alfo their Debates. Must therefore all these several Professions be discarded? Is there no Use of them? Should they who follow them, have no Respect, nor be trusted in any Thing they say? If any will argue after this Manner, whether feriously, or out of Design, he should have a Place in Bedlam to think quietly by himself, without the Disturbance of the different Opinions of others.

He has thought very little, and has never confidered much, who takes Offence at Mens different

different Opinions; for it is naturally unavoidable, because there are different Sizes of Souls, as well as of Bodies, and each Size has a different Capacity; and fo one cannot reach fo far as another, and consequently having different Views of Things, there must be some different Accounts of them. Besides, every one is born with a different Constitution and Temper, which produce different Passions and Inclinations, which have a great Influence on the Understanding and Judgment. Moreover, different Education, and the different Engagements which are early upon Men, make an Impression upon their Faculties, and give a turn to different, Thoughts. But nothing runs Scholars and learned Men into different Opinions, so much as a fond Desire after new, uncommon, and odd Things, that they may be thought to have penetrated farther than vulgar Souls. Hence it is that there are fo many different Sects, and that even the Followers of the same Sett do in some Thing or other, differ from the Author. So that the different Opinions among Divines, do only shew them Men of like Passions and Infirmities with others. And yet after all, this difference among Divines, is more in Appearance than Reality, arifing from Words and Terms which are understood differently according to the Idioms of the Language of their feveral Countries, and the Principles of the different Philosophers which they follow.

In the next Place, as the different Opinions of Divines is no reasonable ground of Prejudice against them; so it should be made no Prejudice

against

against Religion it self. He does not think wise-ly, who concludes from this, that Religion is neither true nor certain, nor much to be regarded. Should the knowledge of Nature, and natural Things be laid afide, because Philosophers have different Opinions about these Matters? Should we shut our Eyes upon the admirable Beauty, the wonderful Order and Harmony of this visible World, because Ptolemy, Copernicus, and Tychobraby give us different Systems? Or should History be neglected, because Historians differ about diverse Passages? The true Inference from these different Opinions among the Divines of either different Churches, or of one and the fame Church, is that the true Knowledge of God and Religion, is not to be got without Pains and Labour, much Study, and ferious Enquiries, and deep Meditation. * If, faith Solomon, those incline thine Ear unto Wisdom, and apply thy Heart to Understanding: yea, if thou cryest after Knowledge, and liftest up thy Voice for Understanding; if thou seekest her as Silver, and searchest for her, as for hid Treasures. Then shalt thou understand the Fear of the Lord, and find the Knowledge of God. This is the Method, and fuch Pains must every one be at, who defires the faving Knowledge of God and Religion. And they who refuse it, are not worthy of it. He that will not labour, faith St. Paul, should not eat. And if the Food of the Body, be worth the Sweat of our Brow, fure that of the

Soul deferves it more. Sin was the Cause why we were put under these Difficulties for mainwe were put under these Difficulties for maintaining both natural and spiritual Life. And as the Goodness of God useth even his just Punishments, for the Advantage of them who humbly submit to him, so he wisely continues these Difficulties of finding Truth, for the exercise of our Faculties, which is the Means of improving and enlarging them; and he permits so many different Opinions, for trying the Sincerity and Strength of our Desire after the Knowledge of himself, as also to give us a better Taste of himself, as also to give us a better Taste of Truth, to make it dearer and more valuable. For Truth makes a deeper Impression on the Mind, Meditation, ferious Enquiry, and full Examination. There must be also Heresies among you, saith the Apostle, that they which are approved, may be made manifest among you, I Cor. xi. 19.

XXXVI. P. 97. It is faid, even the Christian Priests have been always, and still are divided in their Notions of a Deity. And so he goes on for two or three Leaves, to shew their disagreement about the Nature, Essence, and Attributes of God. He might with as much Truth and Honesty have said, that they are not agreed about his Existence: Nor would that have raisfed greater Prejudices in the ignorant, weak and inconsiderate against Religion, than to say or suggest, that Christian Priests know not whom, nor what they worship. But whatever was the Principle or Motive of this Charge, it is altogether

ther false and groundless. For it is most evident by the Confessions and Articles of Faith, published by the feveral Churches now in the World, which I suppose none will deny to have been penned by Clergy-men, I fay, it is evident that all Christian Divines every where are perfectly united in the Belief of one and the same true God, that they all own his Effence to be Spiritual, and his Nature to be Infinite, and absolutely Perfect, and do all acknowledge the same individual Attributes of Eternity, Omnipotence, Omniscience, Goodness, Mercy, Truth, Justice, and Incomprehenfibility; As also that he did create the World, and does still govern it by a most wife and wonderful Providence, though in fome Instances mysterious and obscure to us. And as this is the plain certain Doctrine of all Christian Divines now, among whom I do not reckon Socinians; fo it has been proved, and still can be eafily made out, that 'twas the current Doctrine of the Catholick Church through all Ages; and whofoever diffented from this, were marked as Heretical, as the Anthropomorphites who were not many; for their Notions were too gross to continue long, or to spread far.

It is true, fome tax Tertullian with thinking God Material, but I believe, when his Notion is fairly examined, 'twill be found, that Material referrs to Substance, and not to Body. Nor is there any difference between Dr. Clark, and the late Dr. More, about the spiritual Essence of God, for both acknowledge it Immaterial, but their difference may be in the Philosophical Noti-

 \mathbf{F}_{2}

on of Extension, which the one according to Cartesius, may hold to be all one with Matter, or the Essence of it, but which is denyed by Dr. More with good Reason, and even Demonstration, because there is Extension without Matter, and so he may think it a property of a Spirit, as well as of a Body. That definition of a Spirit, or immaterial Being, which is in this Place quoted from Dr. More's Divine Dialogues, is not to be found in the Place, where he delivers the Notion of a Spirit, and I doubt if it be any where in his Writings, or if these Words without Solidity be used, 'twill plainly appear, that Solidity is not Reality, but Corporeity: For Dr. More was not capable of that Nonsence, as to say, that a Spirit was an extended Nothing.

As the Nature and Essence of God are not doubtful, whether Extention be essential to Matter, or may also be common to Spirits; so neither are his Attributes rendred uncertain, by the difference between the Arminians and Calvinists; for they do not debate any Attribute of God, but only the Consequences which may be drawn from some of them, and how some intricate Matters may be explained. Nor is it any wonder that Men speak differently, when they venture to speak of Things above their Comprehension, and take upon them to determine positively, what does not clearly appear, but which in a great Measure is kept secret. How many earthly and visible Things, are inexplicable by Human Reason? And how should this be sufficient to resolve all the hidden Transactions of God, whose Judg-

ments are unsearchable, and his Ways past finding out! His Way is in the Sea, his Path in deep Waters, and his Footsteps cannot be known.

XXXVII. P. 52. This Author brings in Bramins, Perfees, Bonzes, and Talapoins pretending to Divine Scripture, as well as Christian Priests. But does he think that they have as good Authority for these pretended Scriptures, as we for the Holy Bible? Have they who wrote and deliver'd them as good Credentials, as Moses and the Prophets, Jesus Christ and his Apostles? Has the Providence of God been as wonderfully feen in the preservation of these Scriptures, as in that of Ours? Are there as many Divine and Human Testimonies to the Truth of them? Are they as worthy of God? Do the Doctrine and Declarations in them, make equally for the Glory of God, and lay as good and folid Foundation for the Peace and Comfort of Men? Or is he infenfible that our Bible does this effectually? I wish there was not so much ground for suspesting this. For how could one that has any due Sense of the Truth and Excellency of our Holy Scripture, treat it so irreverently, as to rank it with obscure Shasters, ridiculous Zundavastawes, and a nonfenfical Alcoran, without the least honourable Mark of Distinction? It is deplorable, that there should be so many shrew'd Signs of gross Infidelity, in the midst of so many Means, and while there is fo much Opportunity for coming to the Knowledge of the Truth. How many excellent Treatifes have been written in former Times, and also of late Years, even in our own vulgar Language, for fettling the Canon of Scripture, for proving its Truth and Authority, and for clearing the integrity of Books and Text, to make it a fure, as well as the only Rule of Faith and Guide to eternal Life? Can any reasonable, impartial Free-thinker ask more, for his Satisfaction in these important Matters, than what is offered by Grotius in his little Treatife of the Truth of Religion, together with his own Notes, the Reverend Bishop Stillingsleet in his Origenes Sacra, and the Reverend Dr. Jenkins in his last Edition of the reasonableness of the Christian Religion? These, and there are many accurate Trealifes besides these, are sufficient to convince all that are not hardned with Prejudices, that our Scripture contains the true and fincere Word of God, and so ought to be esteem'd above all Books, which any in any part of the World can pretend to. He that cannot fee Light by this, is uncapable of perceiving it.

XXXVIII. But alas! who are to be blamed for shaking the Authority of this Divine Book, for consounding the Peoples Minds with Doubts and Scruples about it, and for perplexing and debating its Doctrine? They are even the Christian Clergy, if this Author is to be believed. For he charges them with it P. 53. and carries on the Charge for almost three Sheets together. And how does he make out this heavy Charge? By a Collection of Quotations, which as they are set down, look very oddly; but I am sure

were never gathered nor published to help or encourage Free-thinking in the right Sense, but rather to hinder it. By these Quotations it appears, that the Author has turned over a great many Books: But by them it appears also, that he has done it not to better his Mind, or to clear his Understanding, but to encrease his Pre-judices against Truth and Religion, and to humour his Malice against the Clergy. I cannot but liken him to the Hotentots, for as these filly People get into all the Ships which arrive at the Cape of Good Hope, but only to fetch Soot and Grease, as all the Ornaments which they are fond of, are offensive to the rest of Mankind. So this Author reads Books only for the Trash of them, and is well pleased with all that is unsavoury to others. If he find any Thing weak, or indiscreetly said, which can hardly have a good Meaning, or which may be perverted and wrested to a bad Sense and Purpose, this is a wall-results and sense has more with this is greedily caught, and away he runs with it. Nor is he easie till he expose it, though he thereby gives Offence to all good and sober Perfons.

Who expects Infallibility from Persons not infpir'd? What Man has not his weakness? Who is it that reasons justly and exactly at all Times, and on all Subjects? How easily may an inconsiderate Thought, an improper Word, an indiscreet Expression slip in, when Men are haranguing or declaiming, arguing in Passion, or prosecuting a Topick by Fancy, and a heated Imagination? Then Men are not upon their Guard,

but fay many Things which cannot endure the Test. And should these Instances of human frailty be expessed, to blemish the Memory and Character of Persons otherwise very deserving? Would it not be better to conceal and pass them over?

I do not fay this, as if I thought all the Quotations of this Author genuine and true, and that they could not be otherwise justified. On the contrary, I am perfwaded that they are neither fair nor faithful, being only distant Words and Sentences drawn together, and a malicious Turn given to them, for making them speak what the Author never intended: Or else broken Passages feparate from others, which would have not only qualified them, but also overturned the Detign of this Difcourfe. So that this Author has done more Prejudice to himself by his many Quotations, than to those from whom he takes them; because by them he sometimes discovers his Ignorance, and at other Times want of Candour and Honesty.

To examine all his Quotations would be tedious, and would run out this Discourse too far. I shall therefore mark only two or three relating to the *Scripture*, and shall wave what concerns some particular *Doctrines*, because the Discourses which are to follow that lately published,

will oblige to consider them.

XXXIX. The first of our Divines whom this Man introduces, giving a mean, yea, odious Character of our holy Books, is the Reverend Bishop Taylor,

Taylor, in his Liberty of Prophecying, a Book which gave great Offence when first published. If I be not mistaken, Dr. Hammond among others answered it. And Dr. Taylor was so ingenuous as to answer himself too in a new Edition, and to own, that he had no Intention to shake the Catholick and received Doctrine of the Church, nor to promote Licentiousness either in Opinion or Practice: But only to expose the Tyranny of the Church of Rome, for their unreasonable Impositions, and to shew the impertinent Dogmaticalness of some other Sects in Questions, fubrile, difficult and unnecessary, that by this Means all might be perfuaded to lay afide that Stiffness, which is both uneasie to ones Self, and to others, and to put on a mild, foft Temper of bearing with one another in Matters not fundamental, or not eafily determined. His Aim and Defign was to curb and divert the Rage and Fury of the various Sects then started up against the Church, and against one another. And because all boasted of having Scripture on their Side, and produced Texts in favour of their peremptory Decisions, this led him naturally to consider the difficulty and uncertainty of 'Arguments from Scripture, in Questions not simply necessary, not literally determined, which he doth Sect. III. The Quotations brought by this Author, are out of this Section, but drawn both with palpable Difingenuity, and most injuriously to the Bishop. He pretends to give an Idea of our Holy Books from Divines themselves, and yet he passes over the first Paragraph of this Section, where the

the Bishop gives a true and plain Character of Scripture, and proposes the true Use of it, and instead of this, which was only to the Purpose, he runs up and down picking up loose Passages, which were intended chiefly to expose the foolish conceit of some, who expected that Scripture would resolve all their subtile, curious and unnecessary Questions. Did he not see this first Paragraph? Or was it not intelligible, because of a Sentence or two of Greek and Latin? Is this a fair and honest Treatment of the Bishop? Is it not a plain Proof, that he never intended to give his Readers a candid Information? Now because he hath omitted this, I will transcribe it, that every one may judge of this Authors Integrity, and of the Bishops esteem of our Bible.

that every one may judge of this Authors Integrity, and of the Bishops esteem of our Bible. "God who disposes of all Things sweetly, " and according to the Nature and Capacity of "Things and Perfons, had made those only " necessary, which he had taken care should be " fufficiently propounded to all Perfons, of whom " he required the explicit Belief. And therefore " all the Articles of Faith are clearly and plain-" ly set down in Scripture, and the Gospel is " not hid, nisi percuntibus, that is, to them that e are lost, saith St. Paul; Haris & desmis maganhious κο κη μακλας απασης τεοτην εν ταύταις ενεισκεμέν, that is, we find or may find in them, viz. the Scriptures, " a persivasive Instruction of all Virtue and Good-" nefs, and an admonitory Preservative from

" all Evil or Wickedness, saith Damascen, and

"that so manifestly, that no Man can be ignorant of the foundation of Faith, without his

" OWI

" own apparent Fault. And this is acknowledg-" ed by all wife and good Men, and is evident, " besides the reasonableness of the Thing, in "the Testimonies of Saints, Austin, Hierom, " Chrysostom, Fulgentius, Hugo de sancto Victo-" re, Theodoret, Lanctantius, Theophilus Anti-" ochenus, Aquinas, and the later Schoolmen. " And God hath done more, for many Things " which are only Profitable, are also set down " fo plainly, that (as St. Austin says) nemo inde " baurire non possit, si modo ad bauriendum de-" vote ac pie accedat. But of fuch Things, "there is no Question commenced in Christen-"dom, and if there were, it cannot but be a " Crime and human Interest, that are the Au-" thors of fuch Disputes, and therefore these can-" not be simple Errors, but always Heresies, be-" cause the Principle of them is a personal Sin.

The Bishop having thus clearly afferted the plainness, the fulness, the sufficiency and perfection of Scripture, with respect to the true End of it, he then proceeds to the Resutation of those who expected that Scripture should resolve all curious, subtile, and unnecessary Questions. Now he does not this in a close, rational, and argumentative Way: But rhetorically indulging Fancy, and giving free scope to Imagination, which was as strong and fertile in him, as ever in any Man. And when one taketh the Liberty to speak or write after this Manner, neither Thoughts nor Expressions are well guarded, as it must be confessed, that some of the Bishops both here and in other Places, are a lit-

tle loofe and unwary, and what taking them by themselves, and in all their Latitude, are too liable to be wrested to an ill Purpose. But then Reason and Justice require the understanding Mens Words, according to their own Meaning and Purpose, and suitably to the End and Occafion of uttering them, and not to take Advantage of them to their Prejudice. If this Author had intended honeftly to present the World with an Idea of the Nature of our Holy Books from Bishop Taylor, he would not have taken it from this Place, where he treats of it only occafionally, and by the by as 'twere: But he would have confulted his Doctor Dubitantium, where it is handled expresly, and with full Satisfaction, viz. B. ii. Ch. iii. Rule xiv. and there is also B. i. Ch. iv. R. ii. a Demonstration of the Christian Religion, which I with this Author and his fellow Free-thinkers would read and confider. It is flort, and not above three Sheets or thereabout, but it baffles Incredulity, and is fufficient to stop the Mouths of Atheists and Infidels for ever.

As this Author has been injurious to Bishop Taylor here, so P. 131. by a Quotation out of his Letter of Friendship, as I shall show when I

come to that Place.

XL. The Quotations about the Canon of Scripture, P. 86, &c. do likewise shew but little candour; for they are brought to render the Canon of Scripture uncertain, whereas they only prove the Time uncertain, when the Canon was concerted, and generally received, which is a quite different different Thing, and does not at all derogate from the Authority of the Canon, nor of any Book in it. And if there had been any regard to Truth or fair Dealing, this Author would have added to these Quotations, others from the same Reverend Persons, for informing the Reader how they settled the Canon, and proved the Divine Authority of those Books, of which the Canon is composed, to shew what Respect is due to it, and how much to be relyed on. For it is in no wise fair, to take notice of the Doubts and Dissiputions of them. *But this Mans business is not to teach Truth, and to quiet Peoples Minds, but to fill them with Scruples and Prejudices.

Now whereas he produces these Quotations as Objections against the Clergy, I leave it to every one to judge, whether it does not rather make for their Honour; for it shews their Honesty, in that they do not conceal Truths that are seemingly disadvantagious, and so there is no Reason to suspect their imposing upon People. Again, as this declares the honesty of our present Divines, so from this Account, they give of the Books received into the Canon of Scripture, it appears how cautious and circumspect the Primitive Church was, how careful to distinguish the Writings of those who were truly inspired from others, that only pretended to Inspiration: So that they have delivered down to us, only what they themselves received, and were well assured, was from the Apostles; and indeed no

^{*} See Cosins and Richardson of the Canon of Scripture.

Book in the World was ever fo well attested, neither can be proved so authentick as the Scripture. And as it hath all the outward Testimonies that can be defired to recommend it, so the Doctrine and Contents shew so much of the Wifdom of God, that it is impossible to have been written without the Inspiration of his Spirit. Let the Quintessence of all Human Writings be extracted, and 'twill be nothing in comparison of that which the Scripture teacheth of God and of Man, though most of the Penmen were with. out Learning, or liberal Education.

XLI. These Quotations, P. 88. about various Readings of the N. T. cannot with any candour be objected against the Clergy in general, nor yet those particular Persons mentioned. They may prove that the Clergy are Learned and Inquisitive; but they are no Proof, that they intend to render the Text of Scripture precari-

ous, as they are here unjustly charged.

The objecting various Readings, may amuse and shake the simple and inconsiderate, but it cannot furprise them that wisely and duly consider, nor yet be an Occasion of their stumbling. For what wonder is it, that there should be different Readings of a Book so often transcrib'd and translated into all the Languages of the World? I have been told, that if one was curious to compare our Laws and Acts of Parliament, in the Records of the Tower, with the feveral printed Editions of them, and with the citation of Judges and Lawyers, the Variations of them would be

be very many, and effential, which is more

furprifing.

Whether there be so many Variations as are pretended, I know not, nor shall I ever be at the Pains to reckon them. But this I know, that there are very sew material, and that none of them destroy any Matter of Fast, on which the Truth of the Christian Religion is sounded, neither do they alter any essential Point of Dostrine. I mean, admitting all particular Variations, the whole of our Religion stands firm: Because what may be dubious in one Place, is clearly proved in another.

I shall give an Instance of two Texts, which the Socinians make a great bustle about, but which yielded to them, would not shake the Catholick Doctrine against them. The first is I Tim. iii. 16. Without Controversie, great is the Mystery of Godliness: God was manifest in the Flesh. This is the common and most received Reading. But they contend that it should be, which was manifest in the Flesh, referring it to Mystery. Now suppose it should be so, will that render the Incarnation of the Son of God, or the Divinity of Christ uncertain? How many Texts are there which prove them, though this were out of the way? Does not the same Apostle say elsewhere as much? When he faith, He that was in the Form of God, took upon him the Form of a Servant. And again, that God gave his Son to be born of a Woman. And doth not St. John say, that the Word which was God, was made Flesh? And cannot the same be cleared from many other Texts. Another

Another Text, which is an Eye-fore to the Socinians, is I Joh. v. 7. There are Three that bear Record in Heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost, and these Three are One. Therefore they will not allow this to be a genuine; but only a spurious Text, because it is omitted in fome Copies; but admitting there were material Objections against this Text, as there are none; and let it be expunged altogether, what would follow? Will the Doctrine of the Unity of the Godhead, and of the Trinity of Persons fall to the Ground? It cannot be faid, that this Doctrine is only fuggested by this Text, seeing the great Objection against this Text is, that the Fathers did not use it. But setting aside this Text, as the Unity of the Godhead is afferted from Genesis, to the Revelation, so is not the Trinity of Persons declared by the Manifestation when Jefus was baptized, by the common Form of Baptism, by the Benediction of St. Paul, by these Words, I will pray the Father, and he shall give you another Comforter, that he may abide with you for ever, even the Spirit of Truth, and by a great many more Texts and Passages, which make the Mystery certain and plain, as it is incomprehensible.

So the various Readings neither do, nor are intended to render the Text of Scripture precarious. They ferve to fix and clear it, by shewing the Tradition of it, and by them it appears that the Books of the New Testament are no Forgery, and also uncorrupted in all their essential Parts, whether Historical or Doctrinal. And this was

confirm'd to me by that Learned Critick, who published Dr. Mills N. T. in Amsterdam, while he had these Variations under his Consideration. And if he has said any such Thing in his Presace, which I hop'd and expected, this Author cannot be excused for omitting the Intimation.

As for the Old Testament, the Variations of the Hebrew Copy are few and inconsiderable. The Rabbies make different Glosses, but do not disagree much about the Letter. And indeed their Care of the Letter is wonderfully exact, for they allow no Copy of the Law and the Prophets, till both every Word and Letter be strictly and nicely examined in their Synagogue, in the prefence of Rulers and Rabbies, and other learned Men. And if this was the conftant Custom of that People, as in all likelihood 'twas, for it is well known how tenacious they are of Cufloms, then there is all affurance that the Old Testament has been most faithfully preserv'd, as 'twas written by Moses and the Prophets, and that some bold Criticisms of Father Simon, and some other's about Repetitions and Transpositions are groundless.

XLII. But to return to the Quotations of this Author. There is certainly no regard to be had to them, that is, they are upon his Word alone never to be believed, true or genuine, after that which he fays, P. 90. as from Dr. Mills, where his Difingenuity and Malice both to the Gospel and Ministers, are most apparent.

To make this Falsehood go down with careless Readers, he quotes the Page of Dr. Mills Prolegomena, but did not dare to give the Words. And if he had been cunning enough, neither would he have mentioned the Page, and then his Falsehood had been undiscovered by many. For this Book is not in every ones Hand, and few would have been at the Pains to read over 48 Sheets for one single Passage, if they had not known it before.

Here he says, 1st, that Dr. Mills has discover'd a Passage very little known before. By these Words, one would think, that Dr. Mills proposed fomething which he believed, and would have others receive as a Truth. Whereas the Doctor delivers it as a Thing which could not be true, and gives evident Reasons for his Assertion. 2dly, He says, that this Passage gives an Account of a general Alteration of the four Gospels in the fixth Century. But suppose the Passage was true, it only implies a particular alteration at Constantinople, and that but of one Copy too. 3dly, He translates the Passage thus, In the Confulship of Messala, at the command of the Emperor Anastafius, the Holy Gospels, as written by Idiot Evangelists, are corrected and amended. If he was ever at Westminster, or Eaton Schools, and had then given fuch a Translation, he must know that he would have been whipt as an Ideot indeed. For every Boy knows, that Idiota does fignifie one Unlearned, and not a Fool, which perhaps he knew well enough; but to have translared it right, would have spoil'd his prophane Jest.

I will transcribe the whole Passage from Dr. Mills, that all may be satisfied where the Truth lies, and it is as follows.*—" But it is yet more "strange, what Victor Tunurensis reports of the "Emperor Anastasius in his Chronicon, An. Chr. DVI. viz. That during the Consulship of Message fala at Constantinople, the holy Gospels written by unlearned Evangelists, were by the command of the Emperor, corrected and amended, "whence this Author had this, I know not. This indeed may be probable enough, that the "Sophists and other Masters of Rhetorick, not liking the rough and unpolite Stile of the

^{*} Sed & magis adhuc mirum, quod de Anastasio refert Vi-Chor Tunurensis in Chronico Anno Christi DVI. Messala v. c. Coff. Constantinopoli, Inquit, Jubente Imperatore, Sancta Evangelia, tanquam ab idiotis Evangelistis composita, reprehenduntur & emen-dantur? Unde hæc desumpserit auctor iste, Nescio. Illud quidem satis probabile est. Sophistas istius urbis aliosque dicendi Magistros, quibus haud parum fastidita forte erat Evangelici styli Barbaries, apud Imperatorem egisse, ut Evangeliorum Historian de novo conficiendam, & sermone puriore Græco exprimendam curaret, Levemque & Impium principem, eorum Male sanis Consiliis eousg; fuisse obsecutum ut serio cogitaret de hac Evangeliorum Reformatione, seu etiam forte opus ipsius Inchoaret. Verum hujus modi Evangelia nulla usquam in publicum prodiisse tam certum est, quam quod Certisfinium. Ipsum Nomen sane Novorum Evangeliorum, dici haud potest quantas per Universum Orientem excitaturum fuisset Tragedias, quam graves Tumultus, nec fortasse sine Imperatoris ipsius Cæde sopiendos. Sed ut prospere & ex voto successerit ipsi hoc facinus, certe si Evangelia hæc, eorumve particulæ aliquæ evolassent in Vulgus, sieri non potest quin Historici ad unum omnes, qui reliqua Anastasii flagitia enarrarunt, etiam hoc longe super alia memorabile graphice fuifque omnino coloribus depingerent. Cum tamen apud eos altum sit de hac re silentium, neque exstet, quod sciam, ex omni Scriptorum Turba, præter unum Victorem, quique verba ejus transcripsit, Isidorum Hispalensem, qui ed singpias hujus aliquam faciat mentionem, Proleg p. xcviii. " Guspel,

"Gofpel, may have used their Interest with the " Emperor, that the History of the Gospel might " be fet forth a-new in a purer Greek Diolect. " and that the easie and wicked Emperor might " have fo far hearkned to their mad Counfel, as to think in earnest of this Reformation of the Gospel, and even perhaps to begin it. But that fuch Gospels did never any where appear, is fo certain, that nothing can be more certain. Truly it cannot be express'd, what " Tragedies the very Name of new Gospels " would have occasioned throughout all the East, " how many, and how great Tumults it would have raised, which perhaps would never have been quieted, but by the Murder of the Emperor. But however this bold and wicked Enterprise might go on privately, certainly if either these Gospels, or any Part of them, had taken Air, and come among the People, it was impossible but all the Historians of these "Times, even to a Man, who have reckoned up all the other wicked Deeds of Anastasius, would also have mentioned this, which is much worse than the rest, and set it forth its true Colours, whereas there is in all them, a profound Silence of this Matter. Nor " is there any one of the whole Tribe of Wri-" ters, that I know, who takes the least notice " of this intended Adulteration of the Gospel, " besides this one Victor, and another Isidore " Hispalensis, who has transcribed it from him. Now as it is here clear that Dr. Mills did not at all believe, that such an alteration of the Gof-

pel

pel was fet a foot, or forwarded; fo nothing is more evident, than that no fuch pretended corrected Edition of the Gospels is now Extant, neither ever was used in any part of the Christian Church; but both ancient and modern Copies of the New Testament, as well as the rest of Scripture, shew the native and original Simplicity of the Penmen.

And let this simplicity of Stile appear to some never so barbarous, yet all who duly consider, will prefer it to the eloquence of either Demosthenes or Thucidides. The Apostles in preaching the Gospel, did not use the enticing Words of Mans Wisdom, nor did they write according to the artificial Rules of Rhetorick in vogue, because they had no Design of catching Men by guile, nor of drawing others after them with the Excellency of Speech, or with the Wisdom of Words, as the Sophists of the World used to do, and as is still done by cunning Men: But fuitably to their Character, they endeavoured to make Converts only by a naked Representation of the Truth, and giving a plain Conviction of it. Therefore to them that will confider it, the Style as well as the Matter of Scripture, must be by the Inspiration of God, for if the Penmen of Scripture had been writing cunningly devised Fables, or what they wrote out of their own Heads, they would have written more like Men, that is, as Men did then, and do still for the most part, they would have minded chiefly the pleasing Men, and so studied Art and Amusement, acceptable Words, and fine Expref- G_3

fions, what might tickle the Ear, affect the Fancy, humour the Passions, or which might strike in with their Opinions and Interest, which would only have rendred the Truth less evident, and more debateable. It may pass for a Paradox with some, but I will take upon me to say it, and can make it out, that no Book was ever written with more accuracy than the Scripture, if it be accuracy to answer the End, to keep close to the Purpose, and to perswade effectually, fuch as are attentive. Therefore all human Corrections and Amendments would but spoil it.

XLIII. The 8th Instance of the Conduct of the Clergy, P. 91. shews that this Author 'objects against them for Objections sake, right or wrong, even against his own Principles, as well as common Reason. For who would have thought that one who fets up for Free thinking, and preffes it, would have made it a Fault to tell People what Atheists, Deists, Scepticks and Socinians fay? But the secret Disgust at this Conduct is, that they answer their Arguments, and expose the Weakness of them, so that the Readers are furnished with Defences against all private Attacks.

XLIV. The 9th Instance is yet more Impertinent, for poor Creech was no Clergyman when he published Lucretius. He was only a young Batchelor of Arts, nor did those Persons whom he reflects on, any more than recommend the

Transla-

Translation and the Poetry. They were neither fo prophane, nor so senseles, as to be in love with Lucretius Systeme or Principles, at least

this may be faid of the Men.

Having this Occasion, I judge my felf obliged to declare my Thoughts about the studying Heathen Authors, which has been long in Vogue, and which fome do to an excess. I do own that there may be some good Use made of it, and that it is in some Cases necessary. But I do own too, that there is here, and every where, a Nimium of it, which has been very prejudicial to Religion, I mean, to Mens Sense of it, by tying them down to the Notions of meer natural Men, and drawing them off from the Light of Revelation. And I cannot but fay, that it is very unbecoming Divines, to be more Converfant with Heathen Authors and Books, than with Holy Scripture, which only can make the Man of God perfect and qualified for his Function, and by it too, he may become wifer than all these Ancients. There is no Temptation to leave the Scripture, and to turn to Heathen Authors, for fublime Thoughts, elegant Figures, emphatical Expressions, admirable Instances of true irresistible Eloquence, and for Matter of wise Obfervation, and judicious Criticism of all kinds; for I will be bold to advance another feeming Paradox, that there are more and greater Instances of these in Scripture, than in all Heathen Philosophers, Orators or Poets, which would alfo appear more, if Persons applied themselves heartily to the study of it, by the Motive of serious and fincere Devotion.

XLV. P. 92. There is an Attack made upon our present Translation of the Bible to lessen the Credit of it, which is much upon the Matter with forbidding the reading of it, or trufting to it, and fo the Generality shall be without the benefit of Scripture, for they are not capable of confulting the Original Languages.

We do not pretend that this Translation was made and carried on, as Arifteus fays the Septuagint was, which would give an equal Authority with the Original; nor do we fay that the Translators were immediately inspir'd. We own them to have been Men liable to Mistakes, and fo this Work of theirs, like all Human Works, no doubt is capable of being amended in diverse Places. But neither can it be denyed, that they were good and ferious Men, and well qualified for the Work, and so certainly had that Divine Affiftance which is promifed to all Christians, and which every one may expect who asks ir, and who fets about any good Enterprise for the Glory of God, and the Good of his Church, as this was. And therefore they have committed no essential Mistakes. Nay, take it altogether, Text and Marginal Readings, it may be defended as equal to, and even better than any Modern or Ancient Translation. If I remember right, Dr. Pocock who was a good Judge, has often faid fo. Nor can any doubt it who reads the Translators Preface to this Translation, where they

they give an Account of their Diligence, Care and Faithfulness, which ought to have been always printed with the Bible it felf, for affuring the Hearts of those who read it. And certainly the Omission, which is only for saving a little Paper, is a great Fault in the *Printers* and *Bookfellers*, as the suffering it, is a great Oversight in our Bishops and Rulers.

As to the Exceptions which this Author makes against our present Translation, they are very Ignorant and Impertinent. First, He finds Fault that the Word Ecclesia is rendred differently, fometime Church, and fometime Affembly, and not always Assembly or Congregation. But to have done fo, would have obscured the Sense and Meaning of the Text. Who does not know, that Ecclesia according to its first and original Acceptation, fignifies any Congregation or Affembly? But who may not fee also that Jesus Christ and the Penmen of the New Testament, have appropriated the Word, to fignific that particular Body of Men, who are united to Jesus Christ by Faith and Profession, who have him for their Head, and who are under the Direction of others fent by him. So Apostle was at first any Messenger, as Episcopus or Bishop was a common Term for any who had the Care or Overlight of Persons, or Things. But would he now fpeak properly or plainly? Or would he not confound the present distinct Conceptions of People, who should call Envoys Apostles, or the Governors of Forts Bishops? So our Translators render Ecclesia Church, when it means Peliovers in Christ

Christ united in a Body, according to his Institution and Appointment; but thought it not proper to call a Heathen Assembly by that Name, as Acts xix. 32. This is too ferious an Answer to him, who only aimed at an irreligious Jest. But I write, to take away the Suspicions of others more fincere.

Secondly, It was no pious Fraud, nor any Fraud at all, nor any Imposition to insert God, Acts vii. 59. for whether they had any Authority for it from any Copy MS. or printed, which is more than he knows, it is clearly implied, and to be understood, because no where in Scripture, there is any approved Instance of praying or paying Divine Worship to any besides the true God. And this has been always alledged as one proof of the Divinity of Jesus Christ, that St. Stephen here, while he was full of the Holy Ghost, prayed to him, and commended his Spirit unto him.

Thirdly, It is Injurious and Malicious, what he fays about the putting Overseers instead of Bishops, Acts xx. 28. for all that know Greek and English, must know that they mean the same. But Overseers was more proper in that Place, because giving to the unlearned English a clearer Notion of the Office which is there pointed at, and alluded to. And if it had been Bishops, nothing could have been inferred from it to the Derogation of Episcopacy, nor yet was it a Proof that a Scripture Bishop, was not a Diocesan Bifloop, or one that had Presbyters or other Clergy under him. For they to whom the Apostle was

then

tion,

then speaking, were not the Clergy of Ephefus alone, but they were the other Bishops of Asia also, whom St. Paul desired to meet him there, because he had no Time to visit them severally. This is ancient and universal Tradition, as is alfo the Order and Government of Episcopacy, of which his true Christian and Protestant, and great Free-thinker Mr. Chillingworth, has given a Demonstration in few Words, as many others have done more largely, fo that the Controversie may be at an End, if some People could be satisfied with Reason and Evidence. I shall say nothing of it here, but only what is little obferved, that 'twas very agreeable to the Wisdom of God, to make the Order and Economy of the Church, to answer his other Economy for governing the World, which makes different Ranks and Orders absolutely necessary; that so there might be Persons sit, even by their outward Character and Quality, to instruct, admonish, and as there is Occasion, to reprove with all Authority, all forts and degrees of Men.

Fourthly, As to the Postscripts of the Second Epistle to Timothy, and of that other to Titus. They are not put there as part of Divine Scripture, nor did our Reverend and Pious Translators write them as their own Notion and Conjecture, but as Catholick Tradition. For out of the Epistles themselves it can be proved, that Timothy and Titus were Bishops, the one of Ephesus, the other of Crete; and if there be any regard to the Authority of Eusebius, or which is more to universal and uncontroverted Tradi-

tion, they were the first Bishops of these two Cities. What occasion to debate these Matters in Parliament, I do not remember now; but as 'twas in the long Rebellious Parliament, so it is no matter of Wonder, that these Things were prov'd bold and spurious Additions, for there Impudence and Insolency were Proofs, nor was any Thing Truth, but what made for Rebellion, Faction, Schism, and Confusion, and what was against Divine Institutions.

I do not think it worth the while, to examine and consider what he says, P. 94, &c. about the Alteration of other Books. For who will say that Books are vitiated, when the Mistakes of them are corrected, or when salse and dangerous Things are expunged. If this Discourse of Free-thinking had received such Correction from the Hands of some wise and good Men, 'twould have been not only good Service to the Publick, but a Kindness to the Author, by preventing the eternal Shame and Reproach which will be upon him, for publishing so many, not only silly, but salse and impious Things.

XLVI. From P. 99. which begins Sect. III. he starts Objections, and makes Answers to them. But he has not committed that Fault which a little before he charged upon the Clergy, of proposing the Objections of Adversaries in all their force, which indeed is the fairest way. He has not been at any Pains, to find out true and real Objections, but only proposed some weak ones

out of his own Head, which might give Occasion to enlarge further upon his false and foolish Notions.

As I have already often faid, there is no true reasonable Objection can be made against Freethinking, if by it be meant a fair Examination of Evidences, or a ferious fober Inquiry into the Nature and Reasons of such Matters as are proposed to be received. But as I have shewed too, there are many and great Objections against both his

Notion and his Practice of Free-thinking.

P. 107. He makes this Objection, That the Priests are set apart to think freely for the Laity, and are to be relyed on as Lawyers, Physicians, &c. are in their several Faculties. And the drift of his Answer is, not only to make the Priests or Clergy contemptible, but also to run down the Order and Office it felf as impertinent, unnecessary, an useless Burden, and a clogg or hindrance to Free-thinking. And for giving the Colour of an Authority for his Notion, he quotes a Passage out of Mr. Le Clerc's Ribliotheque Choisi. It may be suspected that he himself was Mr. Le Clerc's Informer, and perhaps too the very Gentleman who made that Reply, which indeed is worthy to be laugh'd at, for there is neither Wit nor Sense in it. I believe that Mr. Le Clerc has told the Story for no other Reason, than to shew that there are Fools, and Men who think oddly against all Reason; for he has more Sense than to ridicule the Ministry in general, and could not be guilty of fuch a weakness of Thought, as to make a Jest of his own Office. 1

94

I have already touched fuch Things as give a fufficient Answer to all that is said here, which is downright Opposition to the Authority of God, and a flat Contradiction to his Wisdom. It is an opposition to Divine Authority, because nothing is more evident, than that God has established the Evangelical Priefthood or Ministry to continue for ever in the Church, that is, till the End of the World. And it contradicts Divine Wifdom, which has chosen this as the most effectual Method and Means for propagating and preferving the Knowledge of the Truth. Before the Law, God required Fathers and Masters of Families to do this Office, and to teach their Children, Servants, and others under their Care, as appears from Gen, xviii. 19. By Moses he separated the Tribe of Levi for this End, and ordained that the Priests Lips should keep Know-ledge, and that the People should seek the Law at his Mouth, because he is the Messenger of the Lord of Hosts, Mal. xi. 7. Jesus Christ following this Conduct of Divine Wisdom, ordained Apostles and other Ministers to be Stewards of the Mysteries of God, and to be the Means of conveying the Heavenly Treafures of faving Knowledge. And they also ordained Elders or Prefbyters, which are all one with Priests, whom this Man always mentions with Irony, for Priests is the Contraction of Presbyter. And because the Gospel was to continue till the End of the World, therefore the fame Method is continued to this Day, and will be kept up by all who regard God or right Reason. For 'twould be blasphemous

phemous to compare this Mans Wisdom, with the Wisdom of God, or to put the Question

which should be preferred and relyed on.

This Author, and the Club he pleads for, may count this Foolishness: But the Wisdom is manifest to all who humbly behold it, and are disposed to observe it. For none are born with Treasures of Knowledge, and all must be ignorant unless they be taught, and how should they be taught, if there be none trained up to instruct them. The Clergy are not ordained to fave the People from the trouble of Thinking, but only to help them to think right, and also to keep them in Mind of those necessary Truths which they have learned. There is no Question to be made of it, but that the Laity are every way as capable to find out Truth as the Clergy: But their Business and Employments do not allow them Time. Therefore it is wifely provided, that there should be a Sett of Men, whose particular Business it is to prepare what all should believe and observe. Many are capable of discerning Truths, when laid before them, that are not capable of finding them out by themselves: And so the Clergy are useful to bring People fooner, and more eafily to the Knowledge of the Truth.

He says P. 109. That Priests have no Interest to lead me to true Opinions, but only to the Opinions they have listed themselves to profess, and for the most part into mistaken Opinions. But by his leave, it is both the Interest and Duty of Divines, to lead all into true Opinions, and why

may not the Opinions be true, tho' they profess them? Is there no Sincerity in Profession? Must all be suspected, that are under any solemn Engagements? Is not this unreasonable and uncharitable? That there are many mistaken Opinions, cannot be denyed: But this is only a Reason for a fair impartial Examination, it is no Reason for resusing to hear all and every one without Exception; nor ought there to be a greater Prejudice against one who has listed bimself to profess only particular Opinions, than against another who has not yet declared himself what Church or Party he belongs to; for the Truth may be as little with this last, as the other: Nay, it is certain, that this Man cannot have it, otherwise he would join some or other who own it.

He fays, P. 110. That Men may chuse their own Priests, as they do their own Lawyers and Physicians. And 'tis true, they are at liberty to do fo, but then they ought to use this Liberty with a great deal of Caution, for a Mistake in chusing Religion and the Church, which is all one with what he calls chusing the Priest, is of greater Consequence, than the chusing an ignorant Lawyer, or unskilful Physician. And tho' Men are at liberty to join what particular Church they please, yet after that they have made their choice, they are under all Obligation to teach, profess, and defend its Doctrine. And if this be refused or opposed, that particular Church ought to inflict her Censures; for the Church must be allowed to have the Power of maintaining its felf, which is proper to every The · Society.

The Church, faith St. Paul, is the Pillar and Ground of Truth, and therefore she ought to ob-lige all her Members to profess and teach found Doctrine. This is a folid Reason for Creeds, Confessions, and Articles of Faith, though they be great Grievances to this Author and his Club; for without them it is hardly possible to preserve the Unity and Purity of Faith. In the Days of the Apostles, there was a Form of found Words, which St. Paul commanded Timothy to bold fast, to teach according to it, and to take care that those he ordained to be Ministers of the Church, should do the same. Our Lord bids all beware of false Prophets, and the Apostles forewarn us of the coming in of Herefies and damnable Do-Arines, therefore there must be a Test for trying whether Men speak right, and according to Truth. The Scripture is the great Rule and Standard, but short Summaries are also necessary for both Clergy and Laity, that the one may know those necessary and fundamental Points which are to be taught, and that the other may be able to distinguish easily true Teachers from false, And there is also all Reason that the Church be well affured, that those who teach by her Authority, are of the same Mind, and that they will not corrupt her Doctrine. Certainly no Care is too great for preferring the Truth, and for preventing false Doctrine, neither of which can be done, if private Persons have liberty to advance whatever they please, and to utter all the Notions which come in their Head. It is true, some Churches impose Errors, and so to teach their DoDoctrine, is to go contrary to Scripture. And for that Cause, there is an Obligation to try Churches, as well as particular Men, for knowing whether they continue in the Doctrine of the Apostles, which is the Standard of the true Church; for as there is but one Church, fo that is built upon the Foundation of the Prophets and Apostles. And confequently that is only a part of the one true Catholick and Apostolical Church, which receives the Apostles Doctrine, neither adding to it, nor taking from it. And by this 'twill appear, that the Church of Rome has the least reason to pretend to be the only Church, and that all who are in Communion with our Church, do belong to that one true Church, of which Salvation is the peculiar Priviledge, as I shall Godwilling demonstrate in another Discourse.

XLVII. P. 114. There is a Complaint of the Charge of supporting the Clergy, which he says is a great Evil to Society. This he knew would be a grateful Topick to many, as no doubt it pleased himself. I shall not answer this by the Command and Institution of God, nor the Reason of the Thing, nor shall I produce St. Paul's Arguments, I Cor. ix. all I shall say to this, is, that there is no Reason to complain of the Charge of maintaining the Clergy of this Kingdom, neither is it any Evil or Burden to the Nation; for they pay proportionably to all publick Taxes, and perhaps more, because commonly they are taxed very near, if not altogether according to the real value of their Livings. Again the

Tythes out of which the Clergy are maintain'd, belong to none other, and the Clergy were in Possession of them before any present Proprietor, or his Predecessors had any Right to the Lands themselves. Nor in purchasing Lands, are the Tythes paid for; they are deducted, and fo paying them to the Clergy, is only paying to Men what is due, and just as reasonable, as for a Tenant to pay the Rent of his Farm. Moreover, there is no Cause for grudging or envying the Clergy their Revenues, feeing they are not Hereditary to their private Families, as under the Mosaical Economy. Every Rank, every one of every Quality, from the highest to the low-est, have the Priviledge of training up their Children for these Offices, and the Revenues belonging to them. And fo they are not a Burden nor an Evil to the Nation, but a Temporal Advantage, because it finds Employments for so many Persons, and does encourage them with a certain reasonable Provision. This is sufficient to stop the Mouth of this Author, and fuch others who have no regard to the Divine Institution of the Ministry, nor any Sense of the spiritual Good to be got by it.

XLVIII. P. 114, and 115. This Author sets himself against all Speculations, meaning thereby the Mysteries of Religion, and Matters of Faith, and argues only for Moral Duties, which is sufficient for the Peace and Order of Human Society. Granting that no more was necessary to the Support of Human Societies, and to the H 2 securing

securing the Peace of them, yet how should that superfede Matters of Faith, if they be necessary to eternal Salvation? Is a quiet and peaceable Life here, all that one should aim at? St. Paul says, without Faith it is impossible to please God, and a greater than he has said, that he who believes not is damned; now can any who believes this, think Matters of Faith, Matters of no Moment, altogether unnecessary? Certainly he who pleads for Morality without Faith, or in opposition to the Mysteries of the Christian Religion, is an Atheist and Insidel, he believes not the Gospel, neither fears eternal Damnation, which can only be prevented by a true humble Faith which worketh by Love, and keeps the Commandments.

Morality is a part of Christianity, nor was there ever such a perfect System of Morality thought of by any Philosopher, as that which the Gospel teacheth, therefore they have but little Sense of the Christian Religion, who are regardless of Morality, and neither preach nor press it. But the Christian Religion should be proposed entire, and not mangled, and so Faith and the Things to be believed, should be preached too, otherwise there is more regard to Man, than God, and more Concernment for the present Life, than for that Life and Immortality which the Gospel has brought to Light.

It is a false and inconsiderate Thought, that the Peace and Order of Human Society depend wholly or mostly on the Practice of moral Duties; for these are the Bleslings of God, the Ef-

fects

fects of his Providence, and the Testimonies of his Favour, which that Nation and People may be most assured of, who receive his Word and keep it. The Gospel or Kingdom of Christ, is truly a Divine *Palladium* to them who honour it, and use it aright.

Nor is there any Thing more false, than to say, as this Man does, that imposing Speculations, meaning true Matters of Faith, does destroy the Practice of Morality. For all the Mysteries of the Christian Religion, are Mysteries of Godlinefs, they are the furest Foundation of both Piety, and all moral Duties; nor is it possible to invent more forcible Arguments for perswading to the Practice of them. Can there be a greater Motive to Love, to Forgiveness, to the doing all manner of Good to others, than the stupendous love of God to Mankind in fending his Son? Can any behold Christ dying for us, and not feel the powerful Constraint to die to Sin, and to follow Righteoufness? Can any Thing be more proper to cure Covetousness, which is the Root of all Evil, and to wean Mens Hearts from the inordinate Love of this World, which puts them upon many wicked Practices, I fay, can any Thing be more proper for this, than the profpect of eternal Life? Will not the Contemplation of the many great and precious Promises of God by Christ, excite a fincere Desire to cleanse ones felf from all Filthiness of the Flesh and Spirit, and to perfect Holiness in the fear of God? How then can it be faid, that the preaching and pressing these Things, destroy or hinder the Practice of Mora-H 3 lity lity, or cool the Zeal for it? No Topicks from Heathen Moralists have half the Force.

This Error of decrying Faith, and of advancing Morality to the Prejudice of it, has been obtaining gradually ever fince the Restauration. It has been favoured and carried on by Men of different Principles, and who had different Defigns in their Head. Some good well-meaning Perfons, have been also deceived with the false Varnish spread over it. They are intangled with the fame Snare, who are against the medling with any Controversie, as if that was inconsistent with a Zeal or Regard for practical Piety. It is in vain to address Atheists, Deists, Socinians, and other Hereticks, nor yet Worldly Politicians: But I numbly entreat all sincere Christians, particularly my Brethren of the Clergy to beware of Satans fecret Devices, and particularly of this Error, which tends effectually to advance the Kingdom of Darkness, and to weaken the Kingdom of Jesus Christ, which is built upon Faith, neither can continue with us, unless Faith be preferved. Peace should be preached, but not to the Destruction of Truth. Morality should be taught, but not to the Prejudice of Faith. These two should be always joined together, nor indeed can they be put asunder; for it is a false Faith which is without Morality, and there is no true or acceptable Morality, which has not Faith for its Principle. It is the Duty of every faithful Minister, after the Example of St. Paul, to declare all the Counfel of God, otherwise he cannot be pure from the Blood of all Men.

Therefore Christ crucified must be preach'd, and all the other Mysteries of the Gospel, how little soever some do like them: And also as Occasion offers, Controversies must be touched, for preserving our People from damnable Doctrines, for fetling their Minds, that they may not be like Children toffed to and fro with every Wind of Doctrine, and that they may not be drawn, by the flight and craftiness of Deceivers, into an . Indifferency about all Religions, and about all the Peculiarities of the Christian Religion, which is what this Author of Free-thinking drives at. But Controversies may be handled, without breaking the publick Peace, or raising Tumults, or stifling Charity. Debates are most effectual when they are without Bitterness, Heat, Railing, and the like Usage; but managed with Calmness, with Meekness, and fair Dealing, shewing that Truth, and not personal Prejudices, are the Motives of them.

XLIX. What is faid of the Streets of London, P. 116. must be answered by my Lord Mayor, and the Justices of Peace. But that there are no Complaints, nor Reproofs of Immoralities and Wickedness from the Pulpit, as he alledges, the constant Hearers can prove the contrary. And so might he himself too, if he had frequented the Church, and been a devout Hearer of Sermons. But it is likely that he has withdrawn from them, out of his great contempt of Priests; yet it seems that he has had some Accounts of what was preached, for he mentions something H 4.

which does not please him. But whether he know it or not, Immoralities in his Sense, are not the only Thing to be reproved. Christians ought to consider not only what is naturally Immoral, but what is Sinful by the Revelation of the Gofpel: and confequently when there is a Contempt of Divine Ordinances and Institutions, faithful Pastors ought to admonish the People of them, and reprove the contumacious Continuance in them. This Man has no Sense of Baptism, and makes no Difference betwixt it, and common fprinkling with Water: But we know that it is of great Importance, and is followed with most beneficial Effects, when rightly and duly performed. For one whom we have all reason to rely on, faith, He that believes, and is baptized, shall be faced. And therefore there is all Reafon to reftrain and fuppress the irregular and diforderly Administration of it. A Priest or Minifter of the Gospel is with him a Word of Contempt and Object of Derision, because he pays no Respect to the Gospel; should therefore they who violate the Order, and usurp the Office, pass without Reproof? Our Reproofs indeed are not very effectual with him, and many others, but we are commanded to Teach, to Instruct, to Exhort, and Rebuke with all Authority, whatever may be the Success. For it is written, And they, whether they will hear, or whether they will forbear, for they are a rebellious House, yet shall know that there bath been a Prophet among them, Ezek. ii. 5.

L. P. 117. He fays, nothing tends more, nor

so much to the encrease and union of a Sect, as the Toleration of Vice and Wickedness to as great a Degree as they can. And for the Honour of the Christian Keligion, he gives this as the only Motive, why Constantine the Great embraced it. for he had committed such horrible Villanies, as could not be expiated by the Pagan Religion. And all this he has from Zosimus, a Heathen Historian, and a most bitter Enemy to Constantine, and the Christian Religion, not only sparing nothing that might cast any Reflection upon them, but inventing and stretching every Thing that might render either of them odious. I might bring in Eusebius, Evagrius and Nicephorus Calistus against Zosimus, and other Persons of good Credit. But I will not engage my felf at this Time, in the Defence of Constantine, whose Conduct I allow cannot be altogether justified. He was certainly guilty of some ill Things, and might be fomewhat Superstitious: But his greatest Crime both with Zosimus, and this Author, was the embracing the Christian Religion, and encouraging it. See Dr. Crakenthorps Defence of Constantine.

As to that Reproach of our Religion, which he quotes from that wicked Apostate Julian, we are so far from concealing it, or from thinking it a shameful Thing, that we preach it as Tydings of great Joy, that our Religion can expiate Crimes which no other Religion can do, and that the Favour is offered to all without Exception. And the this Man has no Sense of it at present, yet if it should please God to touch his

Conscience, and to open his Eyes that he may fee his present Errors, he would be glad to find that saying faithful and true, as it is certainly worthy of all Acceptation, that Jesus Christ came to the World to save Sinners, even the chief, and that through him forgiveness of Sin is preached to every one, as that by him all that believe are justified from all Things, from which they could not be justified even by the Law of Moses. This Doctrine is comfortable, as well as true, and none will despise it, but Solomons Fools who make a Mock at Sin.

And yet our Religion is holy and ftrict, far above all the Religions that ever were in the World. The Pagan Religions did all of them indulge Vice; and the Acts of Worship, which fome of them enjoin'd, were Acts of Immora-lity and Wickedness. It is therefore abfurd, and ridiculous to fay, that Constantines Crimes were too great for continuing him among the Pagans; for he was not worse than Tiherius, Domitian, nor even Augustus, suppose all was true that Zosimus saith. But the Christian Religion giveth no Dispensation to Sin, neither any Encouragement to continue in it. It offereth Pardon, but only to the Penitent, who breaks off the course of Sin, and sets himself to repair the Dishonour he has done to God, and the Injuries to Men. When the wicked Man for sakes his Ways, and the unrighteous Man his Thoughts, and when he turns to the Lord, then it is that God will bas e Mercy upon him, and abundantly pardon him. Which I doubt not, but that Egyptian Bishop who, baptibaptised Constantine, was so honest as to lay before him, for he was converted long before.

LI. P. 123. Here begins the List of his Heroes for Free-thinking, the first of whom is Socrates. No doubt he was a great Man in these Days, if either *Plato* or *Zenophon* may be relyed on. And therefore I will not fully his Character, by the remembrance of some Things he is charg'd with. I wish there was not so much Reason, to upbraid the generality of those who profess Christianity with Socrates and some other Heathens: For it is a shame for Christians to be short of the Virtues of Heathers, when their Religion obliges to exceed them, by giving a clearer Light, greater Helps, and more Encouragements. The Righteousness of a Heather will not fave a Christian, and therefore we must study to be better than even Socrates. Erasmus spoke these Words, sancte Socrates ora pro nobis, by way of Irony to mock the Custom of praying to any Saint, especially such pretended Saints, as were not so good by far as Socrates. What is here reported of Socrates by Erasmus, is indeed very becoming a Christian, viz. I bave truly done my best Endeavours to please God, and have good Hopes he will accept of them. Nay, then a Christian ought not to make a doubt of it, as it feems Socrates did, by faying, whether God will approve of the Actions of my Life, I know not. For we know that God will approve of all that fincerely do their best. Let this Auther examine his Conscience, whether he has

imitated this for which he commends Socrates. Will he, or dare he fay, that by writing this Book he intended fincerely, and did his best endeavour to please God? out of his own Mouth he shall be condemned.

There is a Quotation in this Paragraph from Justin Martyr falsely rendred, and which is liable to be perverted. They who would know the true Sense of that Father, may see it cleared in the Notes of the Reverend Mr. Reeves upon Justin Martyr's Apology, Par. xli. p. 83. Before I leave Socrates, I cannot but observe that he is none of this Authors Free-thinkers, even by his own Account of him, for it clearly appears that he believed a God, had a great Veneration for him, and was mightily supported with his dark views of another Life.

LII. And there was as little Reason for mentioning Plato, if there be fuch agreement betwixt his Notions and Christianity, as is pretended. But the agreement is more in appearance, than Reality. And it has done the Chri-ftian Religion no small differvice, to represent it as Platonism, and to explain some of its Mysteries, by the Notions and Principles of Plato: For whatever resemblance there may be in the found of Words, which has deceived some, the Sense and Matter when duly examined; will be found very different. The Fathers have been lately fully vindicated from the Charge of Platonism by Monsieur Baltus, and the Apostles were fo fully taught first by their Master, and afterwards by the Inspiration of the Holy Ghost, that they had no Occasion, neither were under any Temptation, for going to Heathen Schools. But I think it very probable, that Plato has been acquainted with some Jewish Priests, and with the Books of the Old Testament, and has drawn some of his Notions from them; for they seem to be built upon Divine Traditions, which he like a Philosopher has adventured to refine, and by that means has altered and perverted them. However, tho' Plato might have no esteem of the Religions practised then, yet he was no Atheist. He believed a God, and a Providence, and had some expectation of another Life, and so does not stand right here among such Free-thinkers as this Man honours.

LIII. Epicurus indeed shall be allowed to be a Free-thinker in any Sense of this Author. And his System of Philosophy which has the least of solid Reason, and good Sense in it, can only be the Foundation for such Free-thinking, as this Book pleads for. But I shall not trouble my self at this Time, either with the Resutation of his System, or the Examination of his Character, which was various too, as appears by Diogenes Laertius and others. I shall only mark a gross Falsehood, and as gross an Instance of Disingenuity in this Author in this Place, which I promised P. 76. First to raise the Reputation of Epicurus, he detracts from the Excellency of our holy Religion, by denying that it requires such a high Degree of the virtue of Friendship,

Friendship, as Epicurus does. Again, to make this false Accusation pass, he avouches the Authority of Bishop Taylor, and brings him in faying, that the word Friendship in the Sense we commonly mean by it, is not so much as nam'd in the New Testament, and our Religion takes no notice of it. And fo on for some Lines. But according to his wonted Custom, he disingenuoully conceals what immediately follows, where that Reverend Person declares, that though the Name be not taken notice of, the Thing is, and both effectually recommended and carried to a higher pitch, than ever any Heathen dream'd of. The Bishops words are, By Friendsbip I suppose, you mean, the greatest Love, and the greatest Usefulness, and the most open Communication, and the noblest Sufferings, and the most exemplar Faithfulness, and the severest Truth, and the heartiest Counsel, and the greatest Union of Minds, of which brave Men and Women are capable. But then I must tell you, that Christianity bath new christned it, and calls this Charity. A little afterwards, Christian Charity is Friendship to all the World, and when Friendships were the noblest Things in the World, Charity was little, like the Sun drawn in at a Chink, or. bis Beams drawn into the centre of a Burning. glass; but Christian Charity is Friendship expanded, like the face of the Sun, when it mounts above the Eastern Hills. Again, But when Christianity came to renew our Nature, and to reflore our Laws, and to encrease her Priviledges, and to make her Aptness to become Religion, then

it

it was declared, that our Friendships were to be as universal as our Conversation, that is, actual to all with whom we converse, and potentially extended unto those with whom we did not. For be who was to treat his Enemies with Forgiveness and Prayers, and Love and Beneficence, was indeed to have no Enemies, and to have all Friends. Taylors Disc. of Friendship. Now did Epicurus ever carry Friendship so high, or half so far? How mean are all those boasted Friendships of the Heathens, in comparison of this? How little to be admired, is an intimacy betwixt two, or among a few Persons of like Constitution and Temper, having the same Education, Inclinations, and Interest, and where mutual good Offices have paffed, and are expe-Red? But to Love every other Man as ones felf, to be anxious and active for the good of those who never laid an Obligation, and are altogether uncapable of making any Return, and who besides Human Nature, have no Merit; this is a Perfection which neither Epicurus, nor any other Philosopher ever dream'd of, and which can be learn'd only from the Example and Precepts of Jesus Christ.

LIV. Among other great Names, which this Author uses, for setting off his Notion of Freethinking, is *Cicero*, P. 135. whose true Character he pretends to give. But it is such as blackens his Memory, and contradicts the Sentiments of all that ever read him before. For though every Body believed *Cicero* to be above the Superstition

flition of these Times he lived in, yet all have thought that he owned a God, and was well pleased with the Notion that the Soul was Immortal. There are two Treatifes of his in every School-boys Hand, viz. Somnium Scipionis, and de senectute, where Cicero expresses a fond-ness for the Opinion of the Souls Immortality, and seems to comfort himself with the hopes of meeting with Cato, and other great Men in the other World. And if all this was Dissimulation, as this Man would have it, Cicero must fink in his Reputation, and it is no great Matter who have best Right to him, nor of what Side he is. I do not doubt but that there will be many Advocates for Cicero, who will restore his Honour, and wipe off those Aspersions which are here cast upon him, to make Priests throw him aside, who sometimes used him to very good Purpose against such as this Author. I might alfo shew how injurious he is to others, whom he sets forth as Free-thinkers, that is, as he takes it, laughing at Religion, neither believing God nor another Life.

LV. But nothing can be more furprising, than the placing Solomon among them, which he does, P. 150. whether this be from profound Ignorance, or from the height of Impudence, I leave others to judge, but one of them it must be. For if this was done honestly and sincerely, we must then suppose, that the Books of Solomon were never read, or that there was no grain of Sense for understanding them, or a shameful Neglect

lect and Contempt of the Means for penetrating into the Knowledge of them. And if 'twas not fo, what Impudence to impose upon the World a Thing so notoriously false, and which every one is capable to discover?

Solomon is here charged with holding the E-ternity of the World, and with arguing against a Future State. The Proofs are some missunder-stood Texts of Ecclesiastes, which indeed prove the Truth of these Words of St. Peter, They that are unlearned and unstable wrest the Scripture unto their own Destruction, II Pet. iii. 16. This Man complains of the Ignorance of Priests in their Representation of Cicero, in that they do not distinguish his own Opinions, from those he makes another say in order to be resulted. What he falsely accuses others of with respect to Cicero, he is guilty of, manifestly in Reserence to Solomon and this Book of Ecclesiastes.

The Scope of this Book is to take Men off from the inordinate Love of the World, and to perswade them of the vanity and meer amusement of all Things under the Sun, abstracted from the Consideration of God and Religion: It is to enforce what Solomon makes the Conclusion of all Searches and Experiences, viz. that the fear of God, and keeping his Commandments, is the whole of Man. So it is according to the Original, and the meaning is, that it is Mans whole Concernment, the chief Thing to be proposed and minded for securing either Temporal Peace, or Eternal Happiness. And for giving a full and clear Conviction of this Important Matter, So-

lomon obviates all or most Things which fenfual and worldly Men usually objected, or which Human Reason might suggest against a God, or Providence, or the Fears of future Judgment. So that feveral Texts of this Book do not contain Divine Truths, but only the Doubts and Sentiments of the Unbelievers, who stumbled at the present mysterious Proceedings of Providence. All which ought to be carefully observed, other-wise the true Sense and Meaning of this Book can never be reach'd. But though some particular Texts may be easily mistaken by the ignorant and inadvertent Reader, yet none can be so ignorant or inadvertent, as to think by this Book, that Solomon was an Atheist, that he believed the World, and all Things in it Eternal, or that he denyed or doubted a future State. For though there be some obscure Texts in this Book, yet there are others fo clear, that he who runs may read them, nor can any read them without feeing that Solomon had a deep Sense of God, of the Creation, of an universal and particular Providence, of another Life after this, and of a Judgment also, to which every one must answer. If he believed the World Eternal, how came he to forget himself, and say, Remember thy Creator? If he had no Prospect beyond the Grave, what necessity of remembring the Creator in the Days of Youth, before the evil Days come, and the Years draw nigh when thou shalt fay, I have no Pleasure in them, meaning thereby Old Age, which disables one from both! Bodily and Spiritual Exercises, and so renders him unca-

uncapable of redeeming Time, and of repairing past Neglects and Losses. And what need of repairing them, if there be no After State, neither Rewards nor Punishments hereafter. If Solomon had either doubted or disbelieved another Life, or a Judgment in that Life, he would have spared that Sarcasm with which he closes Ch. xi. and which he makes an Introduction to his conclusive Exhortation. Rejoice O ye young Man in thy Youth, and let thy Heart cheer thee in the Days of thy Youth, and walk in the ways of thy Heart, and in the sight of thine Eyes: But know thou, that for all these Things God will bring thee to Judgment. And by Judgment cannot be meant any Thing in this Life, because he had observed before, Ch. ix. that commonly here there is one Event to the Righteous, and to the Wicked.

These are so clear Suppositions, and such Atrong Infinuations of another Life, that any may be convinc'd that Solomon was far from doubting it, and much less would be argue against it. And if we read and confider his Proverbs, we can have no more Suspicion of this Matter. I shall mention but one, viz. The Righteous bath hope in his Death, Prov. xiv. 32. for what-tolerable Sense can be put upon these Words, if there be no State after Death? For Hope does not look backwards, it always has a Respect to fomething to come. And Death damps and destroys the Hope of the Righteous, as well as of the Wicked, in regard of all present worldly Concerns; if therefore the Righteous have Hope

in his Death, it is built upon the Faith of Im-

mortality and eternal Life.

For excusing his harsh Sentiments of Solomon, he has some Marginal Notes, to prove that the Jews were altogether ignorant of a Future Life, that the Notion of Immortality was a noble Invention of the Egyptians, according to Sir John Marsham. This is indeed Sir Johns Opinion, and also of some others who have a Name among the Learned. So Grotius thinks, that the Jews learned the Notion of another Life in their Captivity. But great Men have their Failings, wise Men have some Impertinencies, and learned Men their Mistakes. They fometimes Sleep, and commit gross Blunders: And it is their Missorfortune, that their Mistakes and Blunders are fooner pick'd up, than their useful Instructions. Now as to this Opinion, it is groundless, built upon Prejudices and inadvertent Observations, I know no folid Argument to support it, and there are many strong and unanswerable Arguments against it. It is indeed said, that Jesus Christ brought Life and Immortality to Light, but by this is meant, the full clear and certain Revelation of both the Truth and Nature of immortal Life, and not at all of the first Intimation and Discovery: For it is acknowledged by all, nor can it be denyed by any, but that there was some Knowledge and Belief of it before. And whatever Marsham and Grotius, and others from them may fay, and fetting afide at present the decisive Authority of St. Paul, and of Jesus Christ himself, there is much more probability

bability that the Gentiles had this Notion from the Jews, than that these received it from them.

For whether the Knowledge of Immortality, and of another Life after this, proceeded from Revelation or Reason, the Advantage is on the Jews Side. The Gentiles had no Revelation, the Jews had many; and so there is all Reason to believe, that this important Truth was communicated to them, at least more than to others. If Reason alone might suggest the Thoughts of another Life, why should not the Fews entertain them: For they had among them Men of as great Reason, and of as comtemplative Spirits as in any Nation, nay, more than any Nation can boast of. Grant that the Generality of the Fews were as rude and barbarous, as dull and flupid, and ignorant, as some are pleased to represent them; yet fure, the Patriarchs and Prophets, Moses, David and Solomon, exceed all the Philosophers and Heroes of the Heathens, as they had many more Occurrences to start the Thoughts, and to put them upon the enquiry of another Life. Can we think fo great Men who had the Vision of Angels, and diverse Revelations, had never a Thought beyond the Grave? Is it probable that they who were to devoted to God, and who had so frequent intercourse with him, could have no defire to live with him for ever? Or that God who honoured them with the Title of Friends, and spoke to them Face to Face, would have concealed from them the greatest Joy, the greatest Satisfaction, and the greatest Comfort? 13

Comfort? Wherefore denying the Jews the Knowledge of a Future State, is derogatory to the Character of so great Men, and to the love of God towards them.

But who can or should now doubt it, after what St. Paul faith, Heb. xi. where he plainly proves, that the Patriarchs, Prophets, and other great Men of the Jews, lived in the Faith and Hope of a better Country, than any upon Earth. And does not our Saviour both refute the Errors of the Sadducees, and reprove their Ignorance of the Scriptures which taught the Truth? What though these beavy Dunces could not see the Emphasis of the Letter of the Law, and the Consequences to be drawn from it? Must therefore David and Solomon, and other great Men, be thought ignorant of these Things? Wherefore certainly Solomon cannot be charged with either doubting or denying a Future State. And nothing can be more injurious to his Memory, than to fay that he believed and afferted the Worlds Eternity: For this is to accuse him of the grossest Atheism and Infidelity, disbelieving Moses, who writes the History of the Creation, contradicting the Law which confirms it, and opposing the Evidence of particular Manifestations made to himself. None can have such Sentiments of this great Man, but they who have little regard to the Authority of Holy Scripture.

LVI. As this Author has mif-represented So-Tomon, fo the holy Prophets, P. 153. he would frem to raife their Authority and Character, but

in effect depresses it, by the Account of their Education and Method of getting the Prophetick Spirit. He quotes Dodwell for this. But it must be confess'd, that even that learned and good Man entertain'd many fingular and groundless Conceits. He read more than he well digested, and in most of his Books, there are precarious and imprudent Affertions, which this Man, and other Enemies to Religion have catch'd to ferve Purpofes, which good Mr. Dodwell abhorr'd: I am forry to cast any Blot upon his Memory; but Truth and Religion must not suffer

for any Mans fake.

The Prophetick Spirit was not the effect of either Musick or Wine, neither did it come by any natural or artificial Means. The Pagan Priests did by such Means, work themselves into Ecstasies for amusing the People, and colouring their Pretence to Inspiration. But the Spirit of God came freely upon the holy Prophets, nor did it cause Tremblings, Shakings, or other ecflatical Motions: It left them fedate, calm, and undisturb'd in their Minds though full of holy Zeal. And because turbulent Passions, and inward Diforders did hinder the Spirit, and render one uncapable in some Measure for receiving it, therefore Musick, Vocal and Instrumental, were nsed to compose their Passions, to beget a serene Temper of Mind, and to excite fuch cheerful Devotion, as was proper and necessary to prepare them for the Illumination and Influences of the Divine Spirit.

P. 154. He fays, that the Prophets have written with as great Liberty against the establish de Religion of the Jews, (which the People look'd on as the Institution of God himself) as if they believ'd 'twas all Imposture. And did not the Prophets believe, that the establish'd Religion was the Institution of God? Will he accuse them of thinking Moses an Impostor? Or can any think that the Spirit of God would inspire Persons to expostulate with himself, and to condemn his Conduct? Yet this must be thought and said, if we fay that the Prophets wrote against the establish'd Religion. No, they only reprov'd the corrupt Practices of the Jews, and their unfincere Observance of the establish'd Religion. And yet it might be faid, To what Purpose is the Multitude of your Sacrifices? Who hath requir'd this at your Hand? For the Multitude of Sacrifices are to no Purpose, if there be no easing to do Evil, and learning to do Well. Nor did God require New-moons and Sabbaths, in Oppofition to Judgment, Mercy, and Righteousness. When a Minister of the Gospel reproves the Profelfion of Christianity without the Practice, and Zeal for Sacraments and Ordinances, wherethere is no Care for Righteousness and good Works, should he be interpreted as mocking Christianiby it felf, and as if he denyed the Divine Institution of Sacraments?

Nor did the Prophets write against the Priests, as the Author of the Rights of the Christian Church does against the present Clergy. For the Prophets reproved the Vices of the Priests then,

their Degeneracy, and the common Abuses of their Office, and the undue Usurpation of it; and many of their Invectives are against the Priests and Prophets of Baal, which is but little observed. Whereas the Author of the Rights, &c. together with this Author, make no Distinction betwixt true and false Priests, and take occasion of some personal Faults, to run down the Office and Order.

LVII. Next to the Prophets he places fofe-phus, only because of some Passages which contradict facred History. And his Quotations from Josephus are taken from L'Estrange's Translation, which is not exact, but rather a Paraphrase, where a Liberty is taken by L' Estrange to put a Gloss, which makes Josephus speak more than his Words would bear. Whether this Translator was one of our Authors Free-thinkers, I leave it to others. But as to Josephus himself, it cannot be denyed, but that in foine Relations, he differs from sacred Scripture; yet who can plead his fingle and private Authority against Scripture? And who can think that he could give a better Account of Transactions so long before him, than those who were present, and both commanded by God to write them, and also had divine Assistance in the doing it. Whatever might move Josephus to write as he did, if he had taken that liberty before the Sanbedi in and Jewis State were dissolved, he would have been cast out of the Synagogue. Yet Josephus does not contradict Scripture so much as some think, neither

122 Free-Thinking Rightly. Stated.

neither does he detract from the Miracles of it. As for Instance, this Author makes Josephus represent the Passage of the Israelites through the Red Sea, as nothing Miraculous, because like that of Alexander at the Pamphilian Sea. Now it is true, that there was nothing unufual in this Passage of Alexander, as Phitarch * reports it from Alexanders own Epistles: But Plutarch tells too, that many Historians made no less than. a Miracle of it, which led Josephus into the Error. Nor was there any abfurdity in his thinking, that the like Miracle might be repeated in Favour of One whom God raifed to subdue the Persian Empire. But he'gives such an Account of the Passage thro' the Red Sea, as makes it clear, that he believed it Miraculous, and would have others receive it as such. He indeed says in the Conclusion, Let every one judge of these Things as be thinks fit, which has not that Innuendo which this Author gives it; for these Words do not refer to the Israelites Passage through the Red Sea, as if either the Truth of that Pasfage, or the miraculous Manner of it was to be questioned: But the Words are to be referred either to Alexanders passing the Pamphilian Sea, or to his own Explication of that Miracle to render it credible. Neither does he deny the Hiflory of Nebushadnezar, according to the Relation of Daniel, if his whole Account be confidered. But there being no Obligation to justifie every Thing in Josephus, I will not trouble my

^{*} See Plutarch: Life of Alexander.

felf to examine every Particular; and shall only. fay, that nothing can be produced from him to fhake the Truth of facred History, Vid. Hod. de version. Bibl. Lib. ii. Cap. vi. p. 146.

LVIII. Origen was, as all will acknowledge, a Man of great Literature, but not the first Christian who had that Qualification. Justin Martyr, Ireneus, Theophilus Antiochenus, Athenagoras, Clemens Alexandrinus, Tertullian, Minutius Felix, and many more who preceeded, and were cotemporary with him, were eminent for Learning, as well as Piety, and whose Abilities were fufficient to wipe off that popular Objection against Christianity, that none but Fools were Christians. Origen was not denyed the Title of Saint, because of his Learning, nor is the want of Learning and excess of Zeal, a meritorious Qualification for it: The Title is given to some of as great Parts and Learning, as any in their own Time, or who fucceeded them. But this is one of this Authors hints, as if none but Fools could be true and fincere Christians. I pray God encrease the Number of those whom he calls Fools. As for the Wisdom which he and his Society glory in, we find it describ'd long ago Wisd. ii. and it is fuch as may be pitied and despised, but there is no reason to envy it.

LIX. P. 162. Minucius Felix is brought into the List of those who are represented to have fittle or no regard for any Religion, though he wrote an Apology for the Christian. This is one

of the best Books next the Bible, as he makes Mr. Reeves say, who has translated it, and some other Apologies, and given some useful Notes upon them: But Mr. Reeves Words are, The Holy Scriptures (God he praised) are at liberty, and in English; but the next valuable Writings are still under Lock and Key, and sealed up as twere in Greek and Latin, from the Understanding of

the People.

By way of Irony he fays, that Minucius had fuch a noble Idea of Christianity, as to say, that either the Christians were all Philosophers, or all the old Philosophers were Christians. But this is after his usual way of mil-representing Persons, and wresting their Words. For Minucius doth not fay fo, with Respect to Christianity in general, or to the peculiar Mysteries and Institutions of it, but only in regard of its moral Precepts, and particularly the Belief of one God of an infinite, excellent Nature; fo that there could be no reasonable Prejudice against Christianity, seeing Christians taught what the old Philosophers aim'd at.

Nor does he more fairly represent him in the following Quotations, as if Minucius railed against Churches, publick Preaching, and publick Assemblies, of which there is not a word in the Apology. For both Minucius, and all the Heathens, knew that these were used among Christians. But he inveighs against the Notions which the Heathens had of their Temples, Shrines and Sacrifices, as if they could charm, chain, and confine their Gods, in which the Papifts, at least the vulgar vulgar fort do not much differ from them. If any defire to know the true Sentiments of Minucius, let them read the Translation of Mr. Reeves with his Notes.

LX. P. 165. He lays hold on Synefius, and believes that he has lighted on a good Handle for infulting Religion and the Clergy. So Baxter made use of the same Instance against the Credit of Episcopacy, which Dr. Maurice has answered in his learned Treatise of Primitive Episcopacy

copacy, p. 213.

This Author after his wonted Manner, neither tells the Story of Synesius right, nor yet translates his Words fairly. For he makes him speak contemptibly of the Resurrection, by calling it a Threadhare Story, as if it was of no Importance, whether true or false; whereas the Word which Synefius uses is grave, fignifying that which is well known, and generally received. Nor is it believed, that he doubted the Truth of the Refurrection, but only hefitated about the Refurrection of the same individual and numerical Body, and some other common Explication of Christian Mysteries. And the Occasion of confessing so freely his Doubts of these Things, or dissembling the Belief of them, was to divert *Theophilus* Bishop of *Alexandria*, and the People from chusing him Bishop of *Ptolemais*, to which Synesius had a great Aversion. Bishop Taylor tells the Story thus. "Synesius, Bishop " of Ptolemais, was a wife Man, and a great " Philosopher. But when he was chosen Bishop, " he

126 Free-Thinking Rightly Stated.

" he refused it passionately, and that his refu-" fal might be accepted, declaim'd most bitter-" ly against himself; that he was a Man given to Gaming, from which a Bishop should be " free as God himself; that he did not believe " that the World would ever perish; that he did not affent to the Article of the Resurrecti-" on of the Dead; that being a Philosopher of " the Stoical SeEt, he was something given to "Lying; that he was not popular in his Opi-nions, but humorous and morose, secret and " resolute, that if he was forc'd to be a Bishop, " he would then preach all his Opinions. For " all this Theophilus Bishop of Alexandria con-" fecrated him Bishop, as knowing all this to " be but Stratagem, and the Arts of an odd phantastick Humility. But 'twas ill done, and Synesius had this Punishment for his lying " Modesty, that he was believ'd by Posterity " to be so heathenish and unworthy, that that " Church chofe him Bithop only upon hopes " he would mend. So Evagrius and Nice-" phorus report. Ductor Dub. B. iii. Ch. ii. " Sect. 18.

Now whatever was in this Matter, suppose Theophilus weak and rash in promoting Synesius, and suppose Synesius to be on diverse Accounts unqualified, yet what reason to object this single Instance against either Religion or the Clergy? Does the Credit of Religion, or the Doctrine of the Church depend on private particular Persons, that they must stand or fall with them.

LXI. P. 169. He hales my Lord Bacon into his Club, but without his confent, neither should he stand there. He was indeed a great Man, and allowed himself to think freely, in the innocent Sense of the Expression. But he never spake irreverently of God, neither made a Jest of Religion. He detected Superstition, exposed the weakness of Livy, and with good Reason threw a Suspicion upon his Prodigies and strange Stories: But never gave a hint to make a false Application to the relations of Scripture, or to the Christian Religion.

LXII. Thomas Hobbes certainly stands right. He was one of the Authors own Kidney. I know not what Virtue he had, but without doubt he was an Instance of such Free-thinking, as this Author is in love with: For he propagated Atheifm and Impiety with all zeal and earnestness, and was so bent upon this kind of Free-thinking, and carried it to that Degree, that at last he thought away common Sense and Understanding; for he was fond only of fuch Notions as chad no Foundation in Nature, Reason, or true History, but which contradicted all of them. Euclid and Archimedes, and the other great Masters of Mathematicks, were as mean in his Eyes, as Priests in this puny Admirer and Follower of him. Nor would Hobbes allow certainty in the Mathematicks, more than in the Christian Religion; and he thought that he had infallibly overturned the Foundation of that demonstrative Science, by proving or afferting, that there was no fuch Thing in Nature as a Mathematical Punctum or Line, or Superficies. Therefore Mr. Echard answered Hobbes sitly according to bis Folly, by treating him and his Principles into Ridicule.

The Philosophy and Principles of Mr. Hobbes are not the more reasonable or more worthy of Acceptation, because of that Character which he draws out of my Lord Clarendon; for his Lordship did not think, that these good Qualities, which he once knew to be in Mr. Hobbes, was a Tustification of his Errors and dangerous Opinions, for his Lordship among others wrote against him. My Lord Clarendon was so fair, as to own whatever was good in any, either from Nature or Acquisition. He does not conceal the good Parts of Hambden, Pymme, and the other Ringleaders of that horrid Rebellion against King Charles the I. nor yet of Cromwell the Usurper; should therefore Sedition, Treachery, Rebellion, and villanous Outrages against the Soveraign and Constitution, I fay, should these go glibly down, or be tolerated as harm-less Things, because Men of such Parts were Authors of them.

LXIII. He closes his List of Free-thinkers with one, who cannot be put among them without Violence and Unjustice, having exposed the Folly of Atheisin, own'd the Belief of the Myfteries of our Religion, and recommended with perswasive Arguments, all its moral Precepts. I confess that there are in his Discourses, bere and

and there some indiscreet and unwary Sayings which may be wrested: But passing these Slips, and taking him altogether, he cannot without Injury, be judged to have been of the Society of this Authors Free-thinkers. Nor do I believe that this Author thinks he was, but 'twas ferviceable to his wicked Defign, to lift this great Man among them, for discrediting his Writings, and to get them shuffled aside, because they indeed stand in the way of Atheism and Impiety. And who knows but some Emisfaries of Rome may be in the Plot, because he so strenuously defended the Protestant Dostrine: For we know that one of their Instructions is to deface all Sense of Religion, if there be not any likelihood of fucceeding directly in the Attempts for advancing the Interest of the Church of Rome. And it is observable, that that Church and its Priests are but mentioned once or twice by Name, whereas our Church and Clergy are libelled all along this Difcourfe.

LXIV. I have now confider'd both the general Scope of this Book, and also the particular Instances made use of to advance it. Some few Passages I have slip'd over, because referring to Persons and Books which I had neither Time nor Opportunity to examine, having been hindred by fome Indisposition, and also interrupted with some vexatious Affairs. But I have omitted no material Point, which was necessary to correct the Notion of Free-thinking in general, or to fet People right in those important K

important Subjects which ought to be thought of with all Care and Seriousness. This has induced me to enlarge a little upon fome Things, which I believed the Generality were not well acquainted with. For I had all the while in my View, not the Learned, but such as were apt to Stumble, if present Help was not afforded.

I know fome have express'd themselves very warmly against all Answers to this Discourse of Free-thinking, partly because 'twould give it too much Credit, and partly because there being nothing in it besides Impudence and profane Drollery, it could not be thought to do much hurt. But how often has this Nation had the woful Experience of the pernicious Effects of Impudence and Drollery, together with flashes of seeming Wit, which indeed is wanting here. It is I confess a great Instance of the Weaknefs of our People, and also of their Levity and Inconstancy; but it is true, that by these Means they have been shaken in their Minds, debauch'd in their Principles, unhinged from their Duty, and made to stand by to let in great Diforders and Confusions. And what would be more fatal to this Nation, than if the Defign of this Discourse should obtain, which is to turn Christianity out of Doors, and to fet up Atheisin, Paganisin, and all Impiety. It is easier preventing, than remedying. I know many are more able for either; but I thought it my Duty to fhew my good Will, and to join my Endeavours for preferving fuch as have not gone afide, or regaining those that are reclaimable.

But

But as for this Author and his Club, there can be little, or rather no Hopes of them, for they are hardned against all Conviction. And 'twould seem by the Impudence of publishing this Discourse, that they are not under any Terrour of our Laws, nor astraid of the Civil Power, but think that they have so fortified themselves, as to be able to wrestle with it. This should alarm all who have any Sense of God and Religion, to shake off Indisserncy and Luke-warmness, to put on a holy and prudent Zeal, and to address God with all Seriousness, to put a stop to this growing Iniquity, which is a Presage of both Temporal and Spiritual Judgments.

Arile D God, plead thine own Caule; remember how the foolish Pan reproacheth thee daily.

39) 110 trim



